ADITI DIWAN KAIWART
Digitally signed by ADITI DIWAN KAIWART
Date: 2026.09.01 17:11:58 +0530

CGHC010327232026 2026:CGHC:38651

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 812 of 2026

1 - Smt. Omin Bai Wd/o Late Tulsiram Sahu Aged About 39 Years R/o Ward No. 01, Bhathapara, Temri, Post Surpa, Tahsil Patan, District Durg Chhattisgarh (Claimant)

2 - Suraj Kumar S/o Late Tulsiram Sahu Aged About 17 Years Minor Through Natural Guardian Smt. Omin Bai Sahu, R/o Ward No. 01, Bhathapara, Temri, Post Surpa, Tahsil Patan, District Durg Chhattisgarh (Claimant)

3 - Suryakant Sahu S/o Late Tulsiram Sahu Aged About 14 Years Minor Through Natural Guardian Smt. Omin Bai Sahu, R/o Ward No. 01, Bhathapara, Temri, Post Surpa, Tahsil Patan, District Durg Chhattisgarh (Claimant)

4 - Roshni Sahu W/o Jhamman Sahu, D/o Late Tulsiram Sahu Aged About 19 Years R/o Jerwai, Tahsil Gunderdehi, District Balod Chhattisgarh (Claimant)

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - Purshottam Sinha S/o Late Manoj Sinha Aged About 24 Years R/o Arkaar Chowk, Kanwar, District Balod Chhattisgarh (Driver)

2 - Murlidhar Rathi S/o Prithviraj Rathi R/o Jamgaon R, Tahsil Patan, District Durg Chhattisgarh (Owner)

3 - The Oriental Insurance Company Through Division Manager, Divisional Office, Parmanand Bhawan, Near Rajendra Park, G.E. Road, District Durg Chhattisgarh (Insurer)

--- **Respondent(s)**

(Cause-title taken from the Case Information System)

For Applicant : Mr. Vikas Ghritlahre, Advocate on behalf of Mr. P.R. Patankar, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr. Hanuman Prasad Agrawal, Advocate

SB- Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

01.09.2026

1. Heard.

2. This MCC has been filed by the applicant seeking correction/modification in order dated 14.07.2026, passed in MAC No.1011/2020 (Smt. Omin Bai v. Purshottam Sinha & Ors.), wherein due to inadvertence and typographical error, in para 7 Sl.No.1 under the head 'Compensation awarded by this Court', the monthly income of the deceased has wrongly been mentioned as "8,140", whereas, it ought to have been "8,450".

3. In that view of the matter, I deem it appropriate to allow the present MCC. That being so, the monthly income of the deceased in para 7 Sl.No.1 under the head 'Compensation awarded by this Court' in order dated 14.07.2026, passed in MAC No.1011/2020 (Smt. Omin Bai v. Purshottam Sinha & Ors.) be read as "8,450" in place of "8,140".

4. Accordingly, the instant MCC is **allowed.**

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

@d!t!