CGHC010366012026 2026:CGHC:44904

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 973 of 2026

1 - Manmeet Singh Bhatia S/o Gurdeep Singh Bhatia Aged About 47 Years R/o Lal Bagh Housing Board Colony, M.I.G. 7, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

... Applicant(s)

versus

1 - Smt. Kaushilya Sahu Wd/o Late Lokesh Sahu Aged About 24 Years R/o Ward Hathnipara, Bhatapara, Thana Bhatapara City, Currently Residing At Village Buchipar, Tehsil Simga, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

2 - Minor Divya Sahu D/o Late Lokesh Sahu, Aged About 3 Months, Through Natural Guardian Mother Smt. Kaushilya Sahu, Wd/o Late Lokesh Sahu, Both R/o Ward Hathnipara, Bhatapara, Thana Bhatapara City, Currently Residing At Village Buchipar, Tehsil Simga, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

3 - Karmu Sahu S/o Dhanaram Sahu Aged About 56 Years R/o Shyamaprasad Mukherjee Ward, Bhatapara, Thana Bhatapara City, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

4 - Smt. Kamlabai Sahu W/o Karmu Sahu Aged About 52 Years R/o Shyamaprasad Mukherjee Ward, Bhatapara, Thana Bhatapara City, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

5 - Mahendra Singh Nagwanshi S/o Khorbahra Singh Nagwanshi Aged About 47 Years R/o Darji Mohalla, Jashpur, District Jashpur (C.G.) - (Driver)

6 - Reliance General Insurance Company Limited Through Branch Manager, Branch Office Plot No. 29, Nehru Nagar (East) Commercial Complex, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.) - (Insurance Company)

... Respondent(s)

DEEPTI HARIKUMAR
Digitally signed by DEEPTI HARIKUMAR
Date: 2026.10.16 16:24:04 +0530

For Applicant (s)	**:**	Shri Aditya Bharadwaj, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	None.

({Hon’ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput})

Order on Board

16/10/2026

1. Heard on I.A.No.1/26, application for condonation of delay in filing the MCC.

2. Upon due consideration of the application, the same is allowed. Delay in filing MCC is condoned.

3. This MCC has been filed for restoration of MAC No.123/2024 which was dismissed on account of peremptory order passed by this Court on 27/04/2026.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this Court while issuing fresh notice to respondent No.5 directed payment of P.F. within 7 working days failing which the appeal would stand dismissed without reference to the Bench. He submits that due to communication gap between the applicant and the office of the counsel, compliance of the order could not be effected and as a result, the appeal came to be treated as dismissed. He submits that the mistake is bonafide and not deliberate and prays that the MCC may be allowed and MAC No.123/2024 may be restored.

5. Taking into consideration the reasons assigned in the application, the MCC is allowed. MAC No.123/2024 is restored to its original number.

Sd/-

({Sachin Singh Rajput})
JUDGE

Deepti