CGHC010371732018 2026:CGHC:35556-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

ACQA No. 465 of 2018

1 - Mustaque Ahamad S/o Late Mabin Ahamad, Aged About 70 Years, R- Quarter No. 217/e Risali Sector ,post Office Risali Sector Police Station Navai ,city,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh 490006, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Appellant

versus

1 - Mohammad Javed Kuraishi S/o Mohhammad Salim Kuraishi, Aged About 31 Years, Residance - Islam Nagar Ward No. 05 Masjid ,infront Of Taj Bakari Supela Bhailai, Police Statiion Supela ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Rohit Tiwari S/o Daya Shankar Tiwari Residance - Bhim Nagar Shankar Chowk Ward No. 04 Supela Bhailai, Police Statiion Supela ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Salman Kuraishi @ Bhuru S/o Abdul Rahman Aged About 20 Years Residence - Islam Nagar Ward No. 04 Supela Bhailai, Police Statiion Supela ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Mohammad Irphan S/o Mohammad Ismil, Aged About 22 Years, Residance - Islam Nagar Ward No. 04 Police Statiion Supela ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

5 - Mohammad Shahid @ Balti S/o Shekha Usman, Aged About 21 Years, Residance - Islam Nagar Ward No. 04 Police Station Supela ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

6 - Suraj Sahni @ Raja Billi S/o Shitala Prasad, Aged About 19 Years, Residence - Shankar Chowk Adarsh Nagar Ward No 05 Supela Bhilai

Police Station ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

7 - The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Bhilai Nagar Sector -6 ,tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Appellant	:	None.
For Respondent No. 7	:	Ms. Avelin Juneja, P.L.
For Respondent No. 4	:	Ms. Upasna Yadav, appeared on behalf of Mr. Jitendra Gupta, Advocate.

DB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay S. Agrawal and

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order on Board

(12.08.2026)

1. No one appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the Appellant, even when the matter is called in the second round. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the present case.

2. In the above circumstances, in absence of any representation on behalf of the appellant, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal is **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

Sd/- Sd/-

(Sanjay S. Agrawal) **(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)**

Judge **Judge**

$ourabh