CGHC010376212026 2026:CGHC:44341

NAFR

SUMIT KUMAR Digitally signed by SUMIT KUMAR Date: 2026.10.14 11:38:07 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 955 of 2026

Dharmendra Kumar Soni S/o Late Laxmi Prasad Soni Aged About 56 Years R/o Sonarpara, Gandhi Ward, Mungeli, Tahsil And District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - Ramesh Kumar Soni S/o Late Laxmi Prasad Soni Tahsil And District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

2 - Suresh Kumar Soni S/o Late Laxmi Prasad Soni R/o Gandhi Ward, Mungeli, Tahsil And District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

3 - Vidhyashankar S/o Shobhadin Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

4 - Umashankar S/o Shobhadin Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

5 - Girjashankar S/o Shobhadin Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

6 - Ravishankar S/o Shobhadin Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

7 - Smt. Snehlata Jaiswal W/o Late Ramashankar Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

8 - Sandeep Jaiswal S/o Late Ramashankar Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

9 - Smt. Swati Jaiswal D/o Late Ramashankar Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

10 - Smt. Rajju Jaiswal D/o Late Ramashankar Jaiswal R/o Jaiswal Gali, Gandhi Chowk, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner : Mr. Mirza Hafeez Baig, Advocate through virtual mode

---

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, J.)

Order on Board

13/10/2026

1. Heard.

2. This is an application for restoration of WP227 No.1321/2025 which has been dismissed for want of prosecution on 21.07.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that on 21.07.2026, when the matter was called for hearing, due to unavoidable reason, he could not appeared before this Court and the petition has been dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. The matter pertains to challenge of the order passed by the Board of Revenue with respect to property rights of the parties, which requires adjudication on merits.

5. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is **allowed** and WP227 No. 1321/2025 is restored to its original number.

6. The MCC is **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
JUDGE

Sumit