CGHC010397112026 2026:CGHC:44731

RUCHI YADAV Digitally signed by RUCHI YADAV

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5139 of 2026

1 - Abhishek Agarwal S/o Shri Kailash Agarwal, Aged About 35 Years R/o Modi Plaza, Dhimrapur, Raigarh, District Raigarh, C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Urban And Rural Administration, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.

2 - Collector, Raigarh, Officer Of The Collector, District Raigah, C.G.

3 - Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue), Raigarh, Office Of The Sub - Divisional Officer (Revenue), Subdivision Raigah, District Raigarh, C.G.

4 - Tehsildar, Raigarh, Office Of The Tehsildar, Tehsil Raigarh, District Raigarh, C.G.

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS Periphery)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kabir Kalwani, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Anil S. Pandey, G.A.

SB: Hon’ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

15/10/2026

1. By way of this petition, the present petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

> “i That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the present Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
>
> ii That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 08.09.2026 in its entirety, including the cancellation of Registration Certificate No. 04/13 dated 06.05.2013 and the prohibition on purchase and sale of plots in Green Park Colony, and the consequential directions issued to the Tehsildar, Raigarh.
>
> iii. That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent authorities to restore the position prevailing before the impugned order and to permit the Petitioner to undertake development and lawful transactions in respect of Green Park Colony in accordance with the subsisting permissions and applicable law.
>
> iv. Any other relief, which this Hon'ble court deems, fit in the facts and circumstances may also be granted in favour of the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent/State submits that he has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and there being no objection on behalf of the respondent/State, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition.

5. Accordingly, the present petition stands **dismissed as withdrawn**.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Ruchi