CGHC010411212026 2026:CGHC:44888

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRR No. 1440 of 2026

Imraan Khan S/o Late Ikraam Khan, Aged About 26 Years Bussiness R/o Village Kotri Khan Traders, Thana And Tehsil Sarangarh, District Sagangarh Bilaigarh (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

VASANT KUMAR Digitally signed by VASANT KUMAR Date: 2026.10.16 15:41:29 +0530

1 - Biwi Ambar Aafreen W/o Imraan Khan, Aged About 24 Years R/o Madhuban Para Ward No. 10 Thana - City Kotwali, Raigarh Tehsil And District Raigarh (C.G.)

2 - Minor Ikra Fateema, S/o Imraan Khan Aged About 1 Year 6 Months Through Her Guardian, Mother Biwi Ambar Aafreen W/o Imraan Khan, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Madhuban Para Ward No. 10 Thana - City Kotwali, Raigarh Tehsil And District Raigarh (C.G.)

...Non-applicants

For Applicant : Ms. Vidhi Matlani, Advocate

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

16/10/2026

Heard.

1. The instant criminal revision has been preferred by the applicant under Section 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984, challenging the legality and

propriety of order dated 05.09.2026 passed by Family Court, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.), in Case No.F-75/2026 (Biwi Ambar Aafreen & Anr. Vs. Imraan Khan), whereby the learned Family Court has granted interim maintenance in favour of non-applicant No.2 (minor daughter) to the tune of Rs.7,000/- per month.

2. Leaned counsel for the applicant would submit that applicant has not specific source of income, rather he is working as Driver and earned monthly income of Rs.10,000/-. Despite that Rs.7,000/- monthly interim maintenance granted in favour of non applicant No.2 (minor daughter), which is on higher side. Hence, it is prayed that impugned order dated 05.09.2026 be set aside.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the material available on record.

4. Upon a specific query being put to learned counsel for the applicant as to whether the applicant had filed an affidavit disclosing his income and expenditure, she submits that no such affidavit has been filed. It is further submitted that the applicant has not placed on record any document to substantiate his contention that he is working as a driver or to establish the particulars of the vehicle which he drives.

5. Having regard to the present-day cost of living and the expenses required for the maintenance, this Court is of the considered view that the award of interim maintenance of Rs.7,000/- per month in favour of non-applicant No. 2/minor

daughter cannot be said to be excessive. Accordingly, this Court does not find any good ground to admit the instant revision petition.

6. Accordingly, this revision petition stands rejected.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Vasant