Date of reserved for orders : 07.05.2026
Date of pronouncement : 15.09.2026
Date of uploading : 15.09.2026

APHC010007662026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3233]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 15th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 926/2026

Between:

1. BODDU JAYARAMI REDDY, S/O, SUBBARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-26, BANK BAZAR, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523292. ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DSITRICT

2. BODDU JALAMMA,, W/O BODDU JAYARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-26, BANK BAZAR, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523292. ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DSITRICT

3. . BODDU SRINIVASULU REDDY,, S/O GOPAL REDDY, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 5-4, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT- 523292.

4. METTA RAMA KRISHNA REDDY,, S/O ACHI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-78, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT- 523292.

5. V. KAMALA DEVI,, W/O MALLA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 5-91, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523292.

6. METTA JAGADEESH,, S/O. METTA RAMA KRISHNA REDDY, .

AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1-111/2A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

7. DACHURI TIRUPATI REDDY,, S/O NARASAREDDY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-42, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

8. DACHURI NARASA REDDY,, S/O. VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-40, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

9. KALIKI RAMANA REDDY,, S/O VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

10. YENDETI RAMESH BABU,, S/O YENDETI POLAIAH, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-, KOPPOLU VILLAGE, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. - 523292.

11.. YENDETI CHANDRASEKHARA BABU,, S/O YENDETI POLAIAH, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 7-228(27), SUJHATA NAGAR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 523002

12.. VAYYETI SIRISHA,, W/O. VENKATA RAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 60-56, MADUNURU, KOTHAPATNAM, PRAKASAM DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH-523280.

13. BATTALA NIRMALA,, W/O. RANGA RAO, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS R/O. D.NO. 37-1-419/16, RAMNAGAR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. 523002

14. YENDETI MADHUBABU,, S/O YENDETI POLAIAH, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 3-93/A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

15. MAALAKONDA REDDY KUNKALA.AS,, S/O. ADHISESHA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE

SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

16. KUNKALA DEVASENA,, W/O. ADHISESHA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 3-23, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

17. BODDU ASHOK KUMAR REDDY,, S/O, BODDU JAYARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-26, BANK BAZAR, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

18. METTA MADHUSUDANA REDDY,, S/O. METTA RAMA KRISHNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 203, SRI RAMANILAYAM, MADINAGUDA, SERLINGAMPALLU, HYDERABAD-500050.

19. ALLA VENKATA RAMANA REDDY,, S/O CHENCHI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 3-182, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADUMANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

20. LINGAREDDY VENKATA RAMANAMMA,, W/O. CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY. AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1-79, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

21. CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY,, S/O. SUBBARAMIREDDY, AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1-79, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

22. KOKKILIGADDA SUBRAMANYAM,, S/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS, R/O. KOTTAPALLE PALEM, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

23. CHITTA VENKATESWARLU,, S/O. VENKAIAH, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 7-55, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

24. LINGAREDDY ADHI NARAYANA REDDY,, S/O SUBBARAMIREDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O., KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

25. KONDIREDDY BHASKARA REDDY,, S/O. LATE KONDREDDY, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS R/O. D.NO. 7-8-24A/2, KATAMREDDY VARI STREET, KAVALI, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.524202.

26. NALLAPUREDDY SIVARAMI REDDY,, S/O. NARAYANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-24. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

27. NALLAPUREDDY PARVATHA VARDHANAMMA,, W/O. SIVARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-24. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

28. NALLAPUREDDY APARNA,, W/O BHAVANISHANKAR REDDY, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS R/O. D.NO. 3-8-C. MULAGUNTAPADU, SINGARAYAKONDA MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT,523101.

29. N. NARAYANA REDDY,, S/O. SIVARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-24. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

30. NALLAPUREDDY ESWARA REDDY,, S/O. SIVARAMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-24. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

31. MADDHURI VENKATA SUBBARAMAIAH,, S/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, R/O. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

32. KESAVARAPU NARASIMA REDDY,, S/O. VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 6-2. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

33. KUNKALLA RAMA KRISHNA REDDY,, S/O. NARASIMHA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 8-3-167/D/38/304, KALYANNAGAR PHASE-1, HYDERABAD - 500059.

34. ASIDI SEETHARATNAM,, D/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 5-24. KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

35. DACHURI SANKARA REDDY,, S/O SUBBAREDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1-19, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

36. DACHURI SIVA REDDY,, S/O SUBBAREDDY, AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 1-19, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

37. ALLA SUVARCHARA,, W/O. VENKATA RAMANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 3-182, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

38. S. RAMA CHANDRA REDDY,, S/O. CHINNA VENKATA SUBBA REDDY. AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-103, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

39. DACHURI VENKATA REDDY,, S/O. NARASA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 4-41, KAREDU VILLAGE,- ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

40. DACHURI CHARITHA,, C/O. YEDDULA DIVAKAR, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. PEDATHAPULURU, MUDHUKUR, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 524344.

41. VAKA VENKATA VINAY,, S/O. YELAMANDA, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 5-40-B, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.. 523002

42. SADAM CHENCHAMMA,, W/O. BUJJAIAH, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

43. SARAM BUJJAIAH,, S/O. BASARAYYA, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

44. KUNAM VENKA REDDY,, S/O. KRISHNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, R/O, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

45. PALUBOINA BRAHMAIAH,, S/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, R/O, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

46. PONAKA RAMANA REDDY,, S/O. VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 1-131, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

47. BODDU GOPAL REDDY,, S/O. SUBBA RAMI REDDY. AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 5-4, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

48. POLUBOINA KOTAIAH,, S/O NAGAIAH, AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 1-426, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

49. BATTULA SUBBA REDDY,, S/O. RAMA LINGA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 1-34, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

50. SAVARAM SRI LAKSHMAMMA,, W/O. RAMANAIAH, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, R/O, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR

NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

51. SAVARAM ESWARAMMA,, W/O. VENKATA RAO AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 2-28, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

52. UMMADIPOLU SRINIVASULU,, S/O. SUBBAIAH, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 2-173A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

53. KATHI RAMANAIAH,, S/O. LATE KATHI LAKSHMAIAH, AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. T.P.NAGAR, SOMARAJUPALLI VILLAGE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT. - 523292.

54. CHALLA HYMAVATHI,, W/O. CHALLA ANKABABU, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 37-1-383(108), INDIRA NAGAR, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT - 523292.

55. SAVARAM SRINIVASULU,, S/O. KONDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 2-13, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523292.

56. G. VIJAYALAKSHMI,, W/O LATE G. GOPALA KRISHNA MURTHY AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-1/73B/405, WIDIA COLONY, MIYAPUR, HYDERABAD - 500049.

57. MAKKE VENKATA RAMESH,, S/O. VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 4-139-A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

58. MAKKE PRASANNA,, W/O. VENKATA RAMESH, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 4-139-A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

59. SANNEBOINA VASANTA LAKSHMI,, W/O. BABU RAO, AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 3-145, KOTURU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

60. MALLAVARAPU VENKATESWARLU,, S/O. SESHAIAH, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 3-92, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

61. ALLA VENKA REDDY,, S/O. CHENCHI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 85 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 3-81, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523292.

62. BATTULA RAMANA REDDY,, S/O KRISHNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O, D.NO. 4-13A, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523292.

63. CHEVURI LAKSHMI NARAYANA,, S/O. YELAMANDIAH, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O, AKUTHOTA SANGAM, KAREDU VILLAGE, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ERST WHILE SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523292.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND LAND ACQUISITION, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522238

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT, NELLORE - 524001.

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KANDUKURU DIVISION, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 523105.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, ULAVAPADU MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. - 523292.

5. THE SPECIAL DEPUTY COLLECTOR, KAVALI, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT - 524201

6. M/S INDOSOL SOLAR PRIVATE LIMITED ISPL, REP BY ITS EA TO CMD OPERATIONS, G KRANTHI KUMAR REDDY, S/O LATE G VENKAT REDDY, R/O VILLA 123 PRANEETH PRANAV VALLEY,

BACHUPALLY, HYDERABAD.

7. ANDHRA PRADESH INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE CORPORATION LIMITED, REP BY THE ZONAL MANAGER, TIRUPATI SPECIAL PROJECTS ZONE, APIIC LTD, NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT. RR 6 AND 7 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER THE COURT'S ORDER DT.24.02.2026 IN I.A.NOS.03 AND 02 OF 2026 RESPECTIVELY

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondent authorities in issuing Form-VII Declarations vide Rc.G2/324/2025(Gazette No. 212) dated 23-12-2025 and Rc.G2/324/2024 dated 20-12-2025 under section 19(1) of Act 30 of 2013 to acquire lands belonging to the Petitioners herein without conducting personal hearing under section 15(2) and subsequent proceedings under section 16, 17 and 18 of Act 30 of 2013 to acquire lands belonging to the Petitioners herein, allegedly for Industrial Hub, without satisfying proviso of section 15(2), 16, 17 and 18 of Act 30 of 2013 is illegal, unconstitutional and consequently set-aside Form-VII Declarations vide Rc.G2/324/2025(Gazette No. 212) dated 23- 12-2025 and Rc. G2/324/2024 dated 20-12-2025 under section 19(1) of Act 30 of 2013 issued by District collector, SPSR Nellore District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to restrain the respondent authorities from proceeding any further, based on Form-VII Declarations vide Rc. G2/324/2025(Gazette No. 212) dated 23-12-2025 and Rc. G2/324/2024 dated 20-12-2025 under section 19(1) of Act 30 of 2013 issued by District collector, SPSR Nellore District, including conducting of the award enquiry, by suspending these impugned notifications under sectin19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013 pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to implead the instant petitioner/proposed respondent as the Respondent no. 6 in the instant WP No. 926 of 2026 and to pass

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to implead the petitioner herein respondent No.6 in the present Writ Petition and its interlocutory applications, and pass

IA NO: 4 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to stay all further proceedings pursuant the award enquiry notice vide Rc.No. 132/2025 dated 11.02.2026 while suspending the same and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. K S MURTHY ASSOCIATES

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. RAVITEJA PADIRI
2. SANTHI CHANDRA SC For APIIC
3. THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned senior counsel for the petitioners, the learned Advocate General for the respondent Nos.1 to 5, the learned senior counsel for the respondent No.6 and the learned standing counsel for the respondent No.7.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondent authorities in issuing Form-VII declarations vide Rc.G2/324/2025 (Gazette No.212) dated 23.12.2025 and Rc.G2/324/2024 dated 20.12.2025 under section 19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013 to acquire lands belonging to the petitioners herein without conducting personal hearing under section 15(2) and subsequent proceedings under section 16, 17 and 18 of the Act 30 of 2013 to acquire the lands belonging to the petitioners herein allegedly for industrial hub.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the farmers and cultivating their lands to eke out their livelihood. The subject lands are fertile lands and the petitioners possess approximately a total extent of Ac.150.00 cents collectively. While so, in the third week of July, 2025, they noticed in the newspaper containing notifications vide Rc.G2/325/2025 and Gazette Notification Nos.72, 73, 74, 75 and 76 dated 19.06.2025. To their utter surprise, they came to know that the said notifications were issued by the Government stating that they need land for the purpose of industrial hub

and gave the land details and the pattadars of such lands. In fact, no officer came to their villages and asked their consent for giving their land for industrial hub and no Social Impact assessment was done. The said notifications were issued without Social Impact assessment as contemplated under Chapter II and III of the Act 30 of 2013. But the exemption itself is wrong and all the proceedings further are bad in law. The said notification was issued without revision and updating of market value as required u/s.26 of the Act 30 of 2013. Before issuing, revision must be done and this vitiates the whole exercise. A Grama Sabha was conducted on 04.07.2025 and the petitioners objected to give their agricultural lands and the same was recorded in the minutes book by the authority. The petitioners reserve their right to challenge the validity of exemption from Chapter II and III and also the Sec.11(1) notifications separately. Their challenge to Sec.19(1) may not be construed as their acquiescence for Sec.11(1) notifications and other serious issues which go to root of the matter.

4. However, the petitioners gave their objections to the preliminary notification given by the respondent authorities. The preliminary notification contains the lands of the temples in their village and the interested parties to the deities filed writ petition challenging the high handed action of the respondent authorities for not taking permission of Hon’ble High Court to acquire the temple lands and the writ petition is

pending before the Division Bench of this Hon'ble High Court. The petitioners have been waiting for the public hearing so that their grievances/ objections can be submitted orally to the Acquisition Officer with the help of any educated persons, till date the public hearing notice is not given and the officers are forcing them to give their lands and threatening them with dire consequences. To their utter shock and surprise, the 2nd respondent issued a declaration vide Form-VII under section 19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013 vide Rc.G2/324/2025 (Gazette No.212) dated 23.12.2025 and Rc.G2/324/2024 dated 20.12.2025 without any enquiry and personal hearing under section 15(2) and all these were issued without conducting the social impact assessment and public hearing as contemplated under Act 30 of 2025 for the reasons best known to them.

5. The respondent authorities have to take decisions on the objections filed by them as per section 15(2) of the Act 30 of 2013. The respondent authorities have not given any opportunity for personal hearing on their objections. The objections shall be made to the Collector in writing and the Collector shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard in person or by any person authorized by them in this behalf or by an Advocate and shall after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either make a report in respect of the land which has been

notified under sub-section 11 of Act 30 of 2013. The petitioners were not given any notice of personal hearing, not heard and neither passed any report nor communicated any order rejecting their objections. The 2nd respondent does not even make any recommendations on the objections together with the record of the proceedings held by them or make any report giving therein the approximate cost of land acquisition particulars as to the number of affected families likely to be resettled. The social impact assessment is essential for land acquisition to develop industrial hubs. Chapter-III A will not be applicable as the industrial hub infrastructural project where public private partnership projects, when the land owned by the government the social impact assessment can only be exempted. However, the petitioners came to know that G.O.Ms.No.48 dated 25.03.2025 was issued which categorically states that the lands are being acquired to give the acquired lands to a private company for manufacturing solar panel modules. When the land is acquired for the purpose of handing over to the private companies, the basic ingredient of public purpose is defeated, on this ground alone the preliminary notifications and declaration shall be set aside.

6. The respondent authorities have not prepared the Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme of the project affected families. Section 16 of the Act 30 of 2013 categorically states that upon giving notification

under section 11(1) of the Act 30 of 2013, the respondent authorities shall conduct a survey and undertake a census of the affected families, ascertaining the lands and immovable properties being acquired, livelihoods lost, details of amenities and infrastructure etc. But the same was not followed u/s.16 of the Act 30 of 2013. Without following the basic principle contemplated as per the law, the respondent authorities cannot proceed with issuing the declaration under section 19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013. The respondent authorities have to prepare a draft Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme as prescribed which shall include particulars of the rehabilitation and resettlement entitlements of each land owner and landless whose livelihoods are primarily dependent on the lands being acquired and where resettlement of affected families which has to include all the details of Rehabilitation and Resettlement. Upon drafting the Rehabilitation and Resettlement, the draft regulation has to be circulated in all the public places and public hearing has to be conducted by specifying the date, time and venue for the public hearing at the affected area. After completion of the Grama Sabha, the specific report on draft Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme has to be given and upon specific report the review is to be conducted and finally after completion of all the formalities the approved Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme has to be given.

7. The respondent authorities by-passed all the procedure contemplated in the Act 30 of 2013 and straight away given the declaration u/s.19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013. The rights of the petitioners are deprived by issuing the declaration without affording an opportunity of hearing. The petitioners gave their objections by way of representations to the respondent authorities and there must be an enquiry done for the objections raised by the petitioners and upon enquiry the respondent authorities have to pass proceedings whether considering or rejecting their objections. A report has to be made to the government and then orders need to be passed. Nothing was done by the respondent authorities and they have given preliminary notifications and waited for statutory period to complete and straight away gave the declaration u/s.19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013. Further they are ready to give award enquiry notice at any time and if the authorities are allowed to proceed further and awards are passed, the petitioners will be displaced and then the officers will say go to the authority in appeal.

8. On the other hand, the learned Advocate General appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 5 submitted that the land acquisition has been initiated strictly in accordance with the provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. The 7th respondent filed a requisition before the 2nd respondent for the land in an extent of Ac.8214.30 cents

for establishment of industrial hub in the limits of Karedu Village of Ulavapadu Mandal, SPS Nellore District. Then the 2nd respondent issued orders for publication of preliminary notification u/s.11(1) of the L.A. Act, 2013 to acquire an extent of Ac.4858.17 vide 5 preliminary notices through the District Gazettes 72, 73, 74, 75 and 76. The present declarations that have been impugned in the subject writ petition fall under the preliminary notification issued vide Gazette NOo.72 for acquisition of an extent of Acs.1345.85 vide Rc.G2/324/2025 dated 19.06.2026. The land covered in the present writ petition is part of the above said notification. It was published in SPSR Nellore District Gazette No.72 dated 19.06.2025 and it was also published in all modes of publication as envisaged in the Act. Further, notices were issued to the interested persons in Form VI-B calling for objections if any u/s.15(2) of the Act read with Rule 21 of the Act within a period of 60 days from the date of such publication.

9. All the 1210 objections received against publication of preliminary notification were enquired into by giving personal hearing and detailed report was submitted to the District Collector, SPSR Nellore District vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 by the 5th respondent dated 19.09.2025 and the 2nd respondent issued orders vide Rc.No.G2/324/2025 dated 20.09.2025 over-ruling the objections and the matter was communicated to all the land owners vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 20.09.2025 of the 5th

respondent (typographical error in the orders as “20.08.2025 instead of 20.09.2025”). Speaking orders were communicated to the residential addresses of the objectors by registered posts. As a sequel to the land acquisition process, the declaration in Form-VII in two spells i.e., Ac.44.99 cents vide Nellore District Gazette No.211 dated 20.12.2025 and another extent of Ac.26.39 cents vide Gazette No.212 dated 23.12.2025 u/s.19(1) of the Act, 2013 were published in the manner prescribed in the Act. Statutory procedures including Grama Sabha, service of notices, hearing of objections, passing of speaking orders and determination of compensation have been scrupulously followed. The petitioners, despite having participated in the process and some of them having given consent, filed the present writ petition by suppressing the material facts. The timelines and crucial dates pertaining to the land acquisition proceedings in question are mentioned in the below table.

S.No	Date	Particulars
1.	06.04.2025	Requisition filed for Ac.8214.30 cents for the lands situated at Karedu Village lands. Letter from the Zonal Manager, APIIC, Nellore vide Lr.No.ZO/APIIC/TPT SPL/Karedu/2025, 652 & 653, dated 06.04.2025.
2.	13.06.2025	Govt. of A.P. Revenue (Lands-VI) Department – Memo NO.REV0LAND/85/2025-LANDS-VI, dated 13.06.2025
3.	13.06.2025	Form-A(2) Notification for exemption of chapter II & III, Nellore District Gazette No.70 dated 13.06.2025
4.	19.06.2025	Preliminary Notification published by the District Collector vide District Gazette No.72 dated 19.06.2025 to an extent of Ac.1345.83 cents.
5.	21.06.2025	Preliminary Notification published in daily Newspapers (Eenadu, Hindu) dated 21.06.2025
6.	21.06.2025	Publications in the locality in Karedu Village, Ulavapadu Mandal dated 21.06.2025 (Tahsildar, MPDO, Grama Sachivalayam etc.)
7.	23.06.2025	Form 6B notices in Rc.A/144/2025 dated 23.06.2025. Sent

		for Service through Tahsildar, Ulavapadu and by Registered Post.
8.	04.07.2025	Grama Sabha conducted at Karedu Village of Ulavapadu Mandal, dated 04.07.2025
9.	30.07.2025, 03.09.2025 to 15.09.2025	Personal hearing to hear the objections u/s.15(2) (Photos of the personal hearing held by the Special Deputy Collector enclosed for kind perusal)
10.	19.09.2025	Report Rc.No.C/221/2025 of the Special Deputy Collector, LA, APMB, Kavali addressed to the District Collector regarding the objections filed u/s.15(2)
11.	20.09.2025	Collector's proceedings Rc.No.G2/324/2025
12.	20.09.2025	Speaking orders to the objectors u/s.15(2) Rc.C/221/2025 (typographical error in the order as "20.08.2025 instead of 20.09.2025")
13.	20.12.2025	Proceedings of the District Collector, SPS Nellore on Rehabilitation & Resettlement vide Rc.No.G2/324/2025
14.	20.12.2025	Draft Declaration published by the District Collector vide District Gazette No.211 dated 20.12.2025 to an extent of Ac.44.99 cents
15.	20.12.2025	Publications in the locality in Karedu Village, Ulavapadu Mandal, dated 20.12.2025 (Tahsildar, MPDO, Grama Sachivalayam etc.)
16.	21.12.2025	Draft declaration published in daily Newspaper (Andhra Jyothi & Andhra Prabha) dated 21.12.2025
17.	23.12.2025	Draft Declaration published by the District Collector vide District Gazette No.212 dated 23.12.2025 to an extent of Ac.26.39 cents
18.	23.12.2025	Publications in the locality in Karedu Village, Ulavapadu Mandal dated 23.12.2025 (Tahsildar, MPDO, Grama Sachivalayam etc.)
19.	24.12.2025	Draft declaration published in daily Newspapers (Praja Sakthi & Hans India) dated 24.12.2025

10. A Grama Sabha was duly conducted on 04.07.2025 at Karedu Village for the purpose of explaining the proposed Industrial Hub project to the local land owners and to the persons interested. The nature, extent, public purpose and benefits of the project were clearly explained to the villagers. Social Impact Assessment was lawfully exempted vide Govt. of A.P. Revenue (Lands-VI) Department – Memo No.REV0LAND/85/2025-LANDS-VI, dated 13.06.2025 following due procedure, as industrial corridors and manufacturing zones fall under infrastructure projects, which are eligible for exemption in terms of

Section 2(1)(b)(iii) read with the relevant provisions of the Act and Government orders. The exemption was granted by the State Government and was duly published in the District Gazette No.70 dated 13.06.2025. During the Grama Sabha, the participants have represented that they got time to file their objections up to 18.08.2025 i.e., 60 days from the date of issue of preliminary notification and they have filed 1210 objections from the date of publication of the preliminary notification (19.06.2025) to 18.08.2025. Notices were served in Form VI-B u/s.15(2) of the Land Acquisition Act 2013 to those who refused personal service and the service details are hereunder:

Sl. No.	Name of the petitioner	Personal Hearing Notice (6B) (Regd. Post No. with Date)	6B Notice sent Date	Received Date	Postal Dept. Remarks
1	Boddu Jayaramireddy (Subbarami Reddy)	RN558510712IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
2	Boddu Jalamma (Jayaramireddy)	RN558510726IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
3	Boddu Srinivasula Reddy (Gopal Reddy)	RN558510859IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
4	Metta Ramakrishna Reddy (Achi Reddy)	RN633227511IN	12.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered
5	Ulsi Kamala Devi (Malla Reddy)	RN591112904IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
6	Metta Jagadish (Ramakrishna Reddy)	RN558510686IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
7	Dachuri Tirupati Reddy (Narasareddy)	RN591112745IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
8	Dachuri Narasareddy (Venkat Reddy)	RN591116526IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
9	Kaliki Ramana Reddy (China Venka Reddy)	RN591389635IN	12.08.2025	28.08.2025	Refused & Returned
10	Endeti Ramesh Babu (Polaiah)	RN574793646IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
11	Yendeti Chandrasekhara Babu (Polaiah)	RN574794177IN	12.08.2025	** tracking data available (enclosed the copy of the tracking sheet from the postal department website) and the delivery details are to be ascertained.	

12	Vayeti Sirisha (Venkata Ramireddy)	RN591396273IN	19.08.2025	23.08.2025	Delivered
13	Battala Nirmala (Ranga Rao)	RN591113034IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
14	Yeodeti Madhubabu (Polaiah)	RN591394533IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
15	Kunkala Mala Kondareddy (Adi Sesha Reddy)	RN591112192IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
16	Kunkala Devasena (Aadi Sesha Reddy)	RN591116561IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
17	Boddu Ashok Reddy (Jayaramireddy)	RN574794275IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
18	Metta Madhushudhan Reddy (Ramakrishna Reddy)	RN558510814IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
19	Alla Venkata Ramana Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	RN591391055IN	12.08.2025	28.08.2025	Refused & Returned
20	Lingareddy Venkata Ramana Reddy(Chandrasekhar Reddy)	Manually served			
21	Lingareddy Chandrasekhara Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	Manually served			
22	Kokkiligadda Subramanya (Venkateswarlu)	**Consent given & entered into agreement in G-3 (copy enclosed)**			
23	Chitta Venkateswarlu (Venkayya)	RN558510757IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
24	Lingareddy Adinarayana Reddy (Subbirami Reddy)	RN574793840IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
25	Kondireddy Bhaskara Reddy (Kondareddy)	**He is not a land owner as per the record. His name was not published in the preliminary notification. Hence, serving of notice does not arise.**			
26	Nallapureddy Sivarami Reddy (Narayana Reddy)	RN591112421IN	12.08.2025	29.08.2025	Delivered
27	Nallapareddy Parvatha Vardhanamma (Sivaram Reddy)	RN633225060IN	12.08.2025	21.08.2025	Delivered
28	Nallapureddy Aparna (Sivaram Reddy)	RN591114043IN	16.08.2025	26.08.2025	Delivered
29	Nallapureddy Narayana Reddy (Sivarami Reddy)	RN591114468IN	16.08.2025	26.08.2025	Delivered
30	Nallapareddy Eswara Reddy (Shivaram Reddy)	RN591114468IN	16.08.2025	26.08.2025	Delivered
31	Madhduri Venkata Subbaramaiah (Venkateswarlu)	RN574793385IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
32	Kesavarapu Narasimha Reddy (Venka Reddy)	Manually served			
33	Kunkala Ramakrishna Reddy (Narasimha Reddy)	RN591115976IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
34	Asodi Seetharatnam (Venkateswarlu)	RN591112011IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
35	Dachuri Shankara Reddy (Venkata Subbareddy)	Manually served			
36	Dachuri Siva Reddy (China Subbareddy)	Manually served			
37	Alla Suvarchala	RN591113388IN	12.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered

	(Venkata Ramanareddy)				
38	Swarna Ramachandra Reddy (China Venkata Subbareddy)	RN633227560IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
39	Dachuri Venka Reddy (Narasareddy)	RN591116526IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
40	Dachuri Charitha (Yeddula Divakar)	RN591112970IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
41	Vaka Venkata Vinay (Yallamanda)	RN633222179IN	12.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered
42	Saadam Chenchamma (Bujjayya)	RN591113238IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
43	Saadam Bujjayya (Basavaiah)	RN591113167IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
44	Kunam Venka Reddy (Krishna Reddy)	RN591113706IN	16.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered
45	Poluboyina Brahmayya (Venkateshwarlu)	RN591112572IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
46	Ponaka Ramana Reddy (Venka Reddy)	Manually served			
47	Boddu Gopal Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	RN591392245IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
48	Poluboina Kotayya (Nagayya)	RN636013413IN	19.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered
49	Bathula Subbareddy (Lingareddy)	RN591394224IN	12.08.2025	21.08.2025	Delivered
50	Savaram Sri Lakshmamma (Ramayya)	RN591394564IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
51	Savaram Eeswaramma (Venkata Rao)	RN591114468IN	16.08.2025	26.08.2025	Delivered
52	Ummadiprolu Srinivasulu (Subbaiah)	RN591393498IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
53	Kathi Ramanaiah (Lakshmaiah)	RN591392360IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
54	Challa Haimavati (Ankababu)	RN591393453IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
55	Savaram Srinivasulu (Kondaiah)	RN558511148IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
56	Gadam Shetty Vijayalakshmi (Badari Krishna)	RN639791377IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
57	Makke Venkata Ramesh (Venkateswarlu)	RN558510964IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
58	Makke Prasanna (Venkata Ramesh)	RN633220411IN	16.08.2025	28.08.2025	Delivered
59	Sanneboina Vasantha Lakshmi (Babu Rao)	RN591393025IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
60	Mallavarapu Venkateswarlu (Seshayya)	RN591112436IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
61	Alla Venka Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	RN591393396IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
62	Bathula Ramana Reddy (Krishna Reddy)	RN591112317IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered
63	Chevuri Lakshminarayana (Yelamandaiah)	RN558510978IN	12.08.2025	12.09.2025	Delivered

11. In fact, personal hearing of all the farmers/interested persons was held on 30.07.2025 at the office of the 4th respondent. The farmers in a group have expressed that they were not willing to give their lands hence question of conducting enquiry of personal hearing regarding their objection does not arise. Though some people have explained the issues mentioned in the petition they refused to sign the attendance register and also refused to give statements. In those circumstances, personal hearing for others was postponed to subsequent dates i.e., from 03.09.2025 to 15.09.2025 in the office of the 5th respondent. All the people attended for the personal hearing during the above said dates were heard and their petitions were disposed in the form of speaking order. The petitioners who attended did not know the contents of the petition they had given, they only stated that they are not willing to give their lands at the consented compensation and requested for more compensation. All the objections contain the same matter except the name of the petitioner. Therefore, it is clear that the petitioners are motivated and encouraged by some individuals with vested interests who wanted to stall the land acquisition proceedings. In fact, the petitioners are rich farmers holding large extents of agricultural lands and they influenced some of the poor farmers and included them as petitioners. The particulars of the land holdings owned by the rich land owners are submitted in the below table.

Sl. No.	Sl. No. in WP	Name of the Pattadar	Khata No.	Extent	Total extent held by the family
1	26	Nallapureddy Sivarami Reddy (Narayana Reddy)	1285	14.93	42.235
	27	Nallapareddy Parvathavardhanamma (Sivaram Reddy)	3972	5.155	
	29	Nallapureddy Narayana Reddy (Sivarami Reddy)	1287	12.03	
	30	Nallapareddy Eswara Reddy (Shivaram Reddy)	1288	7.995	
	28	Nallapureddy Aparna (Sivaram Reddy)	2730	2.13	
2	1	Boddu Jayaramireddy (Subbarami Reddy)	1347	10.52	37.280
	2	Boddu Jalamma (Jayarami reddy)	1354	11.72	
	3	Boddu Srinivasula Reddy (Gopal Reddy)	3155	4.02	
	17	Boddu Ashok Reddy (Jayarami Reddy)	5180	6.93	
	47	Boddu Gopal Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	1281	4.09	
3	15	Kunkala Malakonda Reddy (Adi Sesha Reddy)	5035	18.65	19.100
	16	Kunkala Devasena (Aadi Sesha Reddy)	4694	0.45	
4	4	Metta Ramakrishna Reddy (Atchi Reddy)	1308	5.82	7.940
	6	Metta Jagadish (Rama Krishna Reddy)	3027	1.06	
	18	Metta Madhusudhan Reddy (Ramakrishna Reddy)	3028	1.06	
5	19	Alla Venkata Ramana Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	87	2.94	12.78
	37	Alla Suvarchala (Venkata Ramana Reddy)	5070	4.66	
	61	Alla Venka Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	85	5.18	
6	20	Lingareddy Venkata Ramanamma (Chandrasekhar Reddy)	2816	2.29	5.600
	21	Lingareddy Chandrasekhar Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	1842	2.57	
	24	Swarna Ramachandra Reddy (China Venkata Subba Reddy)	1843	0.74	
7	38	Swarna Ramachandra Reddy (China Venkata Subba Reddy)	596	5.09	5.09

12. All the objections were carefully considered, personal hearings were afforded on 30.07.2025 and from 03.09.2025 to 15.09.2025. The land owners, interested persons attended the hearing and requested to withdraw the notification on the ground that they do not want to give their lands to the government for establishment of industrial hub. The land owners who attended the hearing have refused to sign the attendance register and also not given any statement on their requests. A detailed report was submitted to the 2nd respondent vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 19.09.2025 regarding the objections filed u/s.15(2) and the 2nd respondent issued orders vide Rc.No.G2/324/2025 dated 20.09.2025 over-ruling the objections and the matter was communicated to all the land owners vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 20.09.2025 of the 5th respondent (typographical error in the order as "20.08.2025 instead of 20.09.2025"). Speaking orders were communicated to the residential addresses of the objectors by registered post. The particulars of the service of the speaking orders as submitted below for ready reference.

Sl. No.	Name of the petitioner	Speaking Order (Speed Post with date)	15(2) Notice sent Date	Received Date	Postal Dept. Remarks
1	Boddu Jayaramireddy (Subbarami Reddy)	EN766329710IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
2	Boddu Jalamma (Jayaramireddy)	EN766329666IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
3	Boddu Srinivasula Reddy (Gopal Reddy)	EN766319978IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
4	Metta Ramakrishna Reddy (Achi Reddy)	EN481740297IN	21.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered

5	Ulsi Kamala Devi (Malla Reddy)	EN766329096IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
6	Metta Jagadish (Ramakrishna Reddy)	EN766320640IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
7	Dachuri Tirupati Reddy (Narasareddy)	EN766327325IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
8	Dachuri Narasareddy (Venkat Reddy)	EN766327311IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
9	Kaliki Ramana Reddy (China Venka Reddy)	EN766323345IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
10	Endeti Ramesh Babu (Polaiah)	EN766323425IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
11	Yendeti Chandrasekhara Babu (Polaiah)	The petitioner did not file any objection over the land acquisition proceedings. Hence, sending of speaking order to the individual does not arise.			
12	Vayeti Sirisha (Venkata Ramireddy)	EN766323310IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
13	Battala Nirmala (Ranga Rao)	EN766323456IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
14	Yeodeti Madhubabu (Polaiah)	EN766321716IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
15	Kunkala Mala Kondareddy (Adi Sesha Reddy)	EN766319995IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
16	Kunkala Devasena (Aadi Sesha Reddy)	EN766321225IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
17	Boddu Ashok Reddy (Jayaramireddy)	EN766329635IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
18	Metta Madhushudhan Reddy (Ramakrishna Reddy)	EN481740306IN	21.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
19	Alla Venkata Ramana Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	EN766321150IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
20	Lingareddy Venkata Ramana Reddy(Chandrasekhar Reddy)	EN766329215IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
21	Lingareddy Chandrasekhara Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	EN766329198IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
22	Kokkiligadda Subramanya (Venkateswarlu)	EN766330531IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
23	Chitta Venkateswarlu (Venkayya)	EN766316115IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
24	Lingareddy Adinarayana Reddy (Subbirami Reddy)	EN766325593IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
25	Kondireddy Bhaskara Reddy (Kondareddy)	He is not a land owner as per the record. His name was not published in the preliminary notification. Hence, serving of notice does not arise.			
26	Nallapureddy Sivarami Reddy (Narayana Reddy)	EN481739991IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
27	Nallapareddy Parvatha Vardhanamma (Sivaram Reddy)	EN481740076IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
28	Nallapureddy Aparna (Sivaram Reddy)	EN544618348IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
29	Nallapureddy Narayana Reddy (Sivarami Reddy)	EN481706596IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
30	Nallapareddy Eswara Reddy (Shivaram Reddy)	EN481740014IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered

31	Madhduri Venkata Subbaramaiah (Venkateswarlu)	EN766330620IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
32	Kesavarapu Narasimha Reddy (Venka Reddy)	EN766323460IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
33	Kunkala Ramakrishna Reddy (Narasimha Reddy)	The petitioner did not file any objection over the land acquisition proceedings. Hence, sending of speaking order to the individual does not arise.			
34	Asodi Seetharatnam (Venkateswarlu)	EN766327872IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
35	Dachuri Shankara Reddy (Venkata Subbareddy)	EN766327872IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
36	Dachuri Siva Reddy (China Subbareddy)	EN766323411IN	22.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
37	Alla Suvarchala (Venkata Ramanareddy)	Consent given by the farmer for the land acquisition (copy enclosed)			
38	Swarna Ramachandra Reddy (China Venkata Subbareddy)	EN766323575IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
39	Dachuri Venka Reddy (Narasareddy)	EN766323181IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
40	Dachuri Charitha (Yeddula Divakar)	EN766323178IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
41	Vaka Venkata Vinay (Yallamanda)	EN766321101IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
42	Saadam Chenchamma (Bujjayya)	EN766321438IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
43	Saadam Bujjayya (Basavaiah)	EN766321659IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
44	Kunam Venka Reddy (Krishna Reddy)	EN766319301IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
45	Poluboyina Brahmayya (Venkateshwarlu)	EN766315772IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
46	Ponaka Ramana Reddy (Venka Reddy)	EN481740972IN	21.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
47	Boddu Gopal Reddy (Subbarami Reddy)	EN766321680IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
48	Poluboina Kotayya (Nagayya)	EN766317036IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
49	Bathula Subbareddy (Lingareddy)	EN766326395IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
50	Savaram Sri Lakshmamma (Ramayya)	EN766327360IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered
51	Savaram Eeswaramma (Venkata Rao)	EN481740439IN	21.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
52	Ummadiprolu Srinivasulu (Subbaiah)	EN481740615IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
53	Kathi Ramanaiah (Lakshmaiah)	EN481740941IN EN481740955IN	21.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
54	Challa Haimavati (Ankababu)	EN481740601IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
55	Savaram Srinivasulu (Kondaiah)	EN766319350IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
56	Gadam Shetty Vijayalakshmi (Badari Krishna)	Consent given by the farmer for the land acquisition (copy enclosed)			

57	Makke Venkata Ramesh (Venkateswarlu)	EN766329034IN	23.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
58	Makke Prasanna (Venkata Ramesh)	EN766317552IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
59	Sanneboina Vasantha Lakshmi (Babu Rao)	EN766317036IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
60	Mallavarapu Venkateswarlu (Seshayya)	EN766320429IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
61	Alla Venka Reddy (Chenchi Reddy)	EN766317760IN	21.10.2025	22.10.2025	Delivered
62	Bathula Ramana Reddy (Krishna Reddy)	EN766324111IN	22.10.2025	24.10.2025	Delivered
63	Chevuri Lakshminarayana (Yelamandaiah)	EN766329312IN	24.10.2025	25.10.2025	Delivered

13. Out of the total extent of Ac.1345.83 cents covered under preliminary notification-I issued on 19.06.2025 vide District Gazette No.72, 638 farmers covering an extent of Ac.922.75 cents (68%) have already given their consent, including the following 4 of the present writ petitioners viz., Kunam Venkareddy, S/o. Krishna Reddy (Sl.No.44 of the WP), Alla Venkata Ramana Reddy, S/o Chenchi Reddy (Sl.No.19), Alla Suvarchala, W/o Venkata Ramana Reddy (Sl.No.37), Savaram Eswaramma, W/o Venkatrao (Sl.No.51) and Kokkiligadda Subrahmanyam, S/o Venkateswarlu (Sl.No.22). Out of the total extent of land that is to be acquired, horticultural crops are grown in an extent of about Ac.250.00 cents. The petitioners have Ac.20.00 cents of Mango and Banana orchards. The farmers who own an extent of Ac.202.00 cents of orchard crops have already given their consent for the land acquisition as per the compensation fixed by the negotiations committee. Therefore it is clear that most of the farmers who grow

horticultural crops have already given consent for land acquisition and compensation has also been paid to them.

14. As stated supra, pursuant to the requisitions submitted by the 7th respondent, preliminary notifications were issued vide Rc.No.G2/325/2025 published through District Gazette Nos.72, 73, 74, 75 and 76 of SPSR Nellore District notifying a total extent of Ac.4858.15 cents on 19.06.2025 for establishment of the industrial hub. A Grama Sabha was also conducted on 04.07.2025 at Karedu Village and minutes of the Grama Sabha are also enclosed. The project is conceived under the aegis of the National Investment and Manufacturing Zones (NIMZ) / Industrial Corridor concept. The location of the project has been strategically selected considering its proximity to Ramayapatnam Port, National Highway-16 and availability of local stream facilitating inland water usage, making it eminently suitable for industrial development. As a matter of fact, no other land that is suitable for the project is available and after giving much deliberation only the subject land is selected by the government. As already stated, Social Impact Assessment was exempted by Government orders published in District Gazette No.70 dated 13.06.2025 strictly in accordance with law.

15. The revision of market value was completed in January 2025 by the District Level Committee prior to the issuance of the preliminary

notification. The petitioners themselves have admitted that the Grama Sabha was conducted on 04.07.2025 in their village and the content of the preliminary notification issued to acquire lands was informed in the Grama Sabha and that itself reveals that the provisions of the Act are followed and that the farmers availed the opportunity of filing their objections. Having participated in the statutory process, the petitioners cannot now turn around and allege violation of procedure. All statutory norms and provisions under the Act have been strictly complied with. There was no coercion, intimidation or threat exercised at any point of time. The fact is that out of Ac.1345.83 cents of land published for acquisition vide District Gazette No.72 dated 19.06.2025, the land owners covering an extent of Ac.922.75 that is about 68% of the farmers have given their consent which indicates that the farmers are coming forward to give their land for industrial purpose. Only some of the persons holding large extents of land, with an intention to stall the proceedings and to demand higher compensation by dubious means are resorting to raise false litigation.

16. Similarly, the learned counsel for the 6th respondent relying upon the counter of the 6th respondent sailing with the other respondents submitted that certain writ petitioners including Kaliki Ramana Reddy and Boddu Gopala Reddy have already initiated parallel proceedings before the National Green Tribunal, Southern Zone, Chennai, raising

substantially identical grievances relating to the very same project. Sri K.Malakonda Reddy has instituted Original Application No.5 of 2026 before the National Green Tribunal, Chennai Bench, directly challenging the project and the allocation of land in favour of the 6th respondent. The petitioner Nos.9 and 47 in the present writ petition have also approached the said Tribunal by filing applications seeking directions in relation to environmental clearances and project approvals pertaining to the very same project. The petitioner Nos.1, 15 and 39 have earlier approached this Hon'ble Court by filing W.P.No.21466 of 2025 seeking a declaration that the action of the respondent authorities in initiating the process to take over the lands belonging to respondent temples to an extent of Ac.104.21 cents situated in Karedu Village, Ulavapadu Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, in the name of industries without obtaining prior permission of this Hon'ble High Court is illegal and arbitrary. A significant number of petitioners in the present writ petition are also parties in the said earlier proceedings. The institution of multiple proceedings before different forums on overlapping issues clearly reveals a concerted attempt at forum shopping and abuse of judicial process, the sole objective of which is to stall and frustrate the implementation of the project.

17. All mandatory procedures prescribed under the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 were meticulously followed, including issuance of preliminary

notification, service of statutory notices, conduct of Grama Sabha, invitation and consideration of objections and issuance of reasoned and speaking orders by the competent authority. The project in question is expected to generate substantial employment opportunities and regional economic development, which constitutes a recognized public purpose under the RFCTLARR Act, 2013. It is in public domain that a Grama Sabha was duly convened on 04.07.2025 during which the nature, scope and benefits of the project were explained to the villagers. Statutory notices were also duly issued inviting objections from affected land owners. The State Government, in exercise of powers under Section 10A of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, lawfully granted exemption from SIA requirements, as the project falls within the category of infrastructure/industrial corridor projects. The exemption was duly notified and published in the District Gazette. All the land is not for the purpose of indosol, but also for other industries, ancillary companies, common infrastructure and such other activities.

18. The public domain information provides that personal hearings were conducted on 30.07.2025 and between 03.09.2025 and 15.09.2025 during which period the objections raised by the land owners were duly considered. The objections received were duly examined, a detailed report was submitted by the Land Acquisition Officer and the District Collector passed reasoned and speaking orders

before issuance of declarations. It is well settled that industrial development, infrastructure creation and large scale employment generation constitute legitimate public purposes, particularly in projects of national significance. The acquisition does not result in displacement of any project affected families and therefore the statutory requirement of preparation of R&R scheme does not arise. All procedures mandated under the RFCTLARR Act were strictly complied with in letter and spirit. The petitioners have effective and efficacious alternative remedies available under the statutory framework and the same seems to have already been availed by the petitioners, which renders invocation of writ jurisdiction inappropriate. As already stated, proceedings are pending before the National Green Tribunal and certain petitioners are parties to those proceedings. The petitioners have therefore deliberately misled this Hon'ble High Court.

19. As many as 638 farmers encompassing approximately Ac.828-00 cents of land, have already voluntarily consented to the acquisition, including several of the present writ petitioners themselves, the overwhelming support extent by the vast majority of land owners clearly demonstrates that the opposition to the project is being orchestrated by a small minority with vested interests seeking to stall and derail a strategically important public infrastructure initiative for extraneous and ulterior motives, and the repeated institution of proceedings before

multiple forums, including this Hon'ble Court and the National Green Tribunal, further evinces a deliberate and systematic pattern of harassment, abuse of the process of law and impermissible forum shopping aimed at obstructing the timely implementation of the project. The project is of paramount national and public importance as it strengthens India's renewable energy manufacturing ecosystem, reduces dependence on imports for critical solar technology, generates thousands of employment opportunities and accelerates regional economic development. Any delay in implementation of the project would cause irreparable prejudice not only to the 6th respondent but also to the State of Andhra Pradesh and the nation at large, besides undermining national renewable energy goals. The present writ petition is wholly misconceived, devoid of merit, and not maintainable wither on facts or in law. The petitioners have approached this Hon'ble Court with unclean hands by suppressing the material facts.

20. The citations referred are: (i) ***Shiv Singh and others v. State of Himachal Pradesh***[1]- para Nos.4, 6 & 7; (ii) ***Anil Agarwal Foundation and others v. State of Orissa and others***[2] - para Nos.74, 77 & 91; (iii) ***W.P.Nos.682, 10069 & 11829 of 2019*** of this High Court - para Nos.14 & 15; (iv) ***Maddana Anand and others v. The State of Andhra***

[1] (2018) 16 SCC 270
[2] (2023) 20 SCC 1

Pradesh and others[3] **-** para No.27; (v) Dinesh and others v. State of Madhya Pradesh and others [4] - para Nos.17, 18 & 19 and (vi) K.D.Sharma v. Steel Authority of India Ltd. and others[5] - para Nos.38 & 39.

21. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, upon consideration of the rival submissions made, on perusal of the material available on record and the citations referred, it is to be seen that once the objections are filed by the affected land owners, the same are required to be decided by the Collector u/s.15(2) of the Act, after giving an opportunity of being heard to the land owners, should submit their objections and after making further enquiry as the Collector may think necessary. He is required to submit his report to the appropriate forum for an appropriate action in the acquisition in question. Thus, it is mandatory on the part of the Collector to comply with the procedure u/s.15(2) of the Act so as to make the acquisition proceedings legal and in confirmity with the provisions of the Act.

22. However, the jurisdiction of the High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution is extraordinary, equitable and discretionary. Prerogative rights mentioned therein are issued for doing substantial justice. Hence, the petitioner must come with clean hands, put forward

[3] 2024 ALT (Rev.) 420
[4] (2024) SCC Online SC 937
[5] (2008) 12 SCC 481

all the facts before the Court without sealing or suppressing anything to seek appropriate relief. There shall be candid disclosure of relevant material facts otherwise they would be guilty of misleading the Court.

23. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, as borne out from the material available on record, it is to be seen that it is the case of the petitioners that they gave their objections by way of representations to the respondent authorities concerned and there must be an enquiry to be done for the objections raised by the petitioners and upon enquiry, the respondent authorities have to pass proceedings either by considering or rejecting their objections, a report has to be made to the Government and then orders have to be passed. But nothing was done by the respondent authorities and they have given preliminary notifications and waited for statutory period completed and straight away given the impugned declaration u/s.19(1) of the Act 30 of 2013. But as per the contentions of the respondent authorities, basing upon the records, notices were issued to the interested persons in Form VI-B calling for objections if any u/s.15(2) of the Act read with Rule 21 of the Act, within a period of 60 days from the date of such publication. All the 1210 objections received against the publication of preliminary notification were enquired into by giving personal hearing and detailed report was submitted to the 2nd respondent vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 of the 5th respondent dated 19.09.2025 and the 2nd

respondent issued orders vide Rc.No.G2/324/2025 dated 20.09.2025 over-ruling the objections and communicating the same to all the land owners vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 20.09.2025 of the 5th respondent. Speaking orders were also communicated to the residential addresses of the objectors by registered posts. As a sequel to the land acquisition process, the declaration in Form VII in two spells i.e. Ac.44.99 cents vide Nellore District Gazette No.211 dated 20.12.2025 and another extent of Ac.26.39 cents vide Gazette No.212 dated 23.12.2025 u/s.19(1) of the Act, 2013 were published in the manner prescribed in the Act. Statutory procedures including Grama Sabha, service of notices, hearing of objections and passing of speaking orders etc. appears to have been scrupulously followed. Timelines and crucial dates relating to the land acquisition process undertaken as of now are shown in the above table covering dates between 06.04.2025 and 24.12.2025. The details of the notices served in Form VI-B u/s.15(2) of the Land Acquisition Act, 2013 are also referred in the above said table.

24. It appears that all the objections were considered, personal hearings were attended on 30.07.2025 and from 03.09.2025 to 15.09.2025, the land owners and the interested persons attended the hearing and requested to withdraw the notification on the ground that they do not want to give their lands to the Government for establishment of industrial hub. Somehow, the land owners appear to have refused to

sign the attendance register and not given any statement on their requests. Consequently, a detailed report was submitted to the 2nd respondent vide proceedings Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 19.09.2025 as stated above regarding the objections vide u/s.15(2) of the Act and the 2nd respondent issued orders vide Rc.No.G2/324/2025 dated 20.09.2025 over-ruling the objections and the matter was communicated to all the land owners vide Rc.No.C/221/2025 dated 20.09.2025 of the 5th respondent. Speaking orders were communicated to the residential addresses of the objectors by registered posts as shown in detail in the above said table. It appears that the location of the project has been strategically selected considering its proximity to Ramayapatnam Port, National Highway-16 and availability of local stream facilitating inland water usage, making it eminently suitable for industrial development. It appears that as many as 638 farmers covering an extent of Ac.922.75 cents approximately 68% of the land owners have already gave their consent, including some of the present writ petitioners.

25. For the foregoing reasons, it is clear that the respondent authorities have followed the due procedure as contended by the learned Advocate General appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 5 in the matter of subject land acquisition till the stage covered under this writ petition, specifically with respect to the alleged violations on the

grounds raised by the petitioners herein. The procedure followed by the respondent-State as stated above, does not show any violation of Section 15(2) of the Act 30 of 2013 in the facts and circumstances of this case. When the State policy is being executed following the statutory provisions, the same cannot be interdicted raising false grounds to drag on the proceedings and frustrate the project at one stage or the other. Therefore, the impugned proceedings of the 2nd respondent dated 23.12.2025 and 20.12.2025 are sustainable and not liable to be interfered with. However, the respondent authorities are directed to proceed with the further process of the subject land acquisition, strictly in accordance with law, giving due opportunity to the petitioners at appropriate stages, wherever it is warranted and the same shall be completed as expeditiously as possible.

26. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

15.09.2026
PND