APHC010134112009

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY, THE 18th DAY OF AUGUST 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

APPEAL SUIT NOs: 214 7 491 OF 2009

APPEAL SUIT NO: 214 OF 2009

Between:

1. G.Venkataswamy Naidu, a

...Petitioner

AND

1. R Ravindra Babu, S/o. Late R.Gopal Naidu, Hindu Employee R/o. D.No. 3-158-C-4, S.B.I.Colony, Madanapalle.

2. C Janakirama Naidu, S/o. late Varadaiah Naidu, Aged about 73 years, R/o. D.No. 16-474-1, old employment, office street, palamaner road, chittor town, chittoor district. Substituted the respondent No. 2 as C.Janakirama Naidu in the place of M.R. Sakunthala, vide court order dated 07.12.2023 in IA. No. 1 of 2023 in AS. No. 214 of 2009.

...Respondents

aggreived by the judgment and decree in O.S.No. 95 of 2006, dated 22-10-2008 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Madanapalle.

IA NO: 1 OF 2009(ASMP 677 OF 2009

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased expedite the hearing of the appeal

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to permit the petitioner to substitute the name of C.Janakirama Naidu in the place of the 2nd respondent M.R.Sakuntla in A.S.No.214 of 2009 as well as IA's, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: KALLEMU VIJAYA RAJU

Counsel for the Respondents: Counsel for the Respondents:. Counsel for the Respondents:S PRANATHI

APPEAL SUIT NO: 491 OF 2009

Between:

1. G.Venkataswamy Naidu, S/o. Gutta Venkatramana Naidu Business R/o. D.No. III-145-B6, Prasanth Nagar, Madanapalle Town and Post and Mandal.

...Petitioner

AND

1. R Ravindra Babu, S/o. Late R.Gopal Naidu, hindu Employee R/o. D.No. 3-158-C-4, S.B.I.Colony, Madanapalle.

2. M R Sakunthala, Housewife Residing at C/o. Chandra Babu Naidu, M V Inspector, Flat No. 304, Visvanatha Apartments, Near Nataraja Theatre,

...Respondents

aggrieved by the judgment and decree in O.S.No. 120 of 2006, dated 22-10-2008, on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Madanapalle.

IA NO: 1 OF 2009(ASMP 1422 OF 2009

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 77 days in representing the above appeal and pass such other order or orders in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 2 OF 2009(ASMP 1474 OF 2009

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to expedite the hearing of the

appeal and pass such further or other orders as deem fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner: KALLEMU VIJAYA RAJU

Counsel for the Respondents:

The Court made the following order:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

APPEAL SUIT Nos.214 & 491 of 2009

COMMON JUDGMENT:

When the matters were listed on 17.08.2026, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants. Learned counsel for the respondents was present.

2. Today, though the matters are listed under the caption "For Dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. Learned counsel for the respondents alone is present. It seems that the appellants are not inclined to prosecute the appeal suits.

3. Accordingly, the Appeal Suits are dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

Date: 18.08.2026
LSP