APHC010330652024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3624]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 7th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ALAPATI GIRIDHAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 17432/2024

Between:

1. RAMIDI RAVICHANDRA, S/O. NAGESWARA RAO, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, R/O. NO. 6-10-123, BHIMAVARIPALEM STREET, BAPATIA VILLAGE AND POST, BAPATIA MANDAL, BAPATIA (GUNTUR) DISTRICT.
2. BABY RAMIDI KEERTHI,, D/O. RAMIDI RAVICHANDRA AGED ABOUT 18 YEARS, R/O. NO. 6-10-123, BHIMAVARIPALEM STREET, BAPATIA VILLAGE AND POST, BAPATIA MANDAL, BAPATIA (GUNTUR) DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL, HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. N R I GENERAL AND SUPER SPECIALITY HOSPITAL, MANGALAGIRI ROAD, MANGALAGIRI, CHINNAKAKANI, GUNTUR DISTRICT REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT.
3. N R I GENERAL ANDSUPER SPECIALITY HOSPITAL, MANGALAGIRI ROAD, MANGALAGIRI, CHINNAKAKANI, GUNTUR DISTRICT REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
4. RAVI ORTHOPEDIC CENTRE, PONNUR TOWN AND MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT. REP. BY ITS DR. RAVINDRANATH TAGORE,

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toPleased to issue a Writ, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the docket order dated 15.06.2023 passed in First Appeal No. 1543 of 2019 on the file of Honble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi is as illegal, arbitrary. Unconstitutional and violative of Article 14,19 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently set aside the order dated 15.06.2023 passed in First Appeal No. 1543 of 2019 on the file of the Honble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to set aside the order dated 15.06.2023 passed in First Appeal No. 1543 of 2019 on the file of the Hon'ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi pending disposal of the writ petition before this Hon'ble Court, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. RAMIDI SATHYANARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MEDICAL HEALTH FW

The Court made the following:

ORDER: :-*(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)*

The petitioner filed C.C.No.114 of 2014 before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission at Hyderabad under Section 17 (a) (i) of the Consumer Protection Act, 2002 for the following reliefs:

a) direct the Opposite Parties Nos.1 and 2 to pay the compensation of Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees sixty lakhs only) towards loss of earnings, medical negligence, deficiency of service, sufferance;
b) direct the Opposite Parties Nos.1 and 2 to pay a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- (Rupees thirty lakhs only) towards the maintenance, further studies, marriage expenses of the minor 2nd complaiant;
c) direct the Opposite parties Nos.1 and 2 to pay the compensation of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs only) towards mental agony;
d) costs of the complaint be awarded;
e) and to grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble State Commission deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

2. CCIA No.263 of 2014 was filed in the said case to condone the delay in filing the complaint. The State Commission by recording the finding that there was no sufficient cause for condoning the delay and dismissed the petition on 08.05.2019.

3. Challenging the same, the petitioners filed First Appeal No.1543 of 2019 before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi. The National Commission, by order dated 15.06.2023, recording that the petitioners (appellants therein) previously on 18.04.2022, 28.04.2022,

10.03.2023 and 18.04.2023 as also on 15.06.2023, did not appear in the said case, dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution.

4. Challenging the said order of the National Commission, the present writ petition has been filed.

5. The docket order shows that on 09.08.2024, the following order was passed:

> *"Learned counsel for the petitioners is stated to be indisposed.*
>
> *Adjourned.*
>
> *List on 23.08.2024."*

6. Thereafter, on 30.04.2026, 16.07.2026 and on 13.08.2026, none appeared for the petitioners.

7. Today also, none appears for the petitioners.

8. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

ALAPATI GIRIDHAR, J

Date: 07.10.2026

ARR

81

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ALAPATI GIRIDHAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 17432/2024

Date: 07.10.2026

ARR