APHC010392972019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1224/2019

Between:

1. MULAKALA BHASKAR, S/O HUSSAIN, AGED 45 YRS, OCC PASTOR, R/O D.NO 5-51/1, OPP DARGA KOMPALLI VILLAGE, QUTHUBULLAPUR MANDAL, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI.
2. YALLA VENKATESWARA RAO S/O KRUPADAS, R/O D.NO. 19-11-2021, CHINAPET, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DIST. R 2 IS IMPLEADED AS PER THE COURT'S ORDER DATED 15.07.2026.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. D BALA SRINIVASA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Criminal Revision Case has been filed under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity 'the Cr.P.C.,') challenging the Judgment dated 19.11.2019 passed in Crl.A.No.277 of 2019 on the file of the Court of the learned III Additional Sessions Judge, Bhimavaram, whereby the conviction recorded by the learned I Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class-cum-Junior Civil Judge, Bhimavaram in C.C.No.377 of 2016 dated 17.05.2019 under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for brevity, 'the N.I.Act') was confirmed, while modifying the sentence of six months' imprisonment to three months' simple imprisonment.

2. On 15.07.2026, this Court passed the following order:

> "*No representation for the Petitioner.*
> *The Petitioner suffered concurrent conviction for the Charge under Section 138 of N.I.Act.*
> *The case is one of the oldest cases pending since 2019 onwards.*
> *The Petitioner is not impleaded the complainant as Respondent No.2 in this case.*
> *The complainant is Respondent No.2 in this case. impleaded as*
> *Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment in the Criminal Revision Case.*
> *Issue notice to the Respondent No.2*
> *Learned counsel for the Petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on the Respondent No.2 by Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service in the Registry.*
> *..."*

3. The Petitioner has failed to take out notice on Respondent No.2 despite sufficient opportunities being granted. There has been no representation for the Petitioner for a considerable period, even though the matter was repeatedly posted under the caption 'for orders.' The Petitioner has not complied with the directions of this Court dated 15.07.2026.

4. In view of the above, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed for non-payment of process to Respondent No.2.

5. Issue Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) against the Petitioner through the Superintendent of Police concerned. On execution of the NBW, the Petitioner shall be produced before the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

_____

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dt: 02.09.2026
PRA

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1224/2019

Date: 02.09.2026
PRA