APHC010427042026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3330]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 29th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2969/2026

Between:

1. INTURI SRINIVASA RAO, S/O.AKKAIAH,AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,R/O.11-41-25/1, SIVAGANGA STREET,AMARAVATI VILLAGE AND MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT
2. CHALAMALASETTY SIVARAMA PRASAD, S/O.VENKATESWARA RAO,AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,R/O.TUMMALA VILLAGE, REPALLE MANDAL,GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. CM SAIKANTH VARMA, I.A.S. DISTRICT COLLECTOR,GUNTUR DISTRICT, GUNTUR.
2. KRISHNAKANTH, TAHSILDAR, PEDAKAKANI MANDAL,GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to summon the respondents contemnors herein and punish them for willful, deliberate and intentional contempt of the Order, dt. 15.09.2025 in W.P.No.24568 of 2025, passed by this Honble Court

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. V SURYA KIRAN KUMAR
2. V Surya Kiran Kumar

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. SUSHMA YAGANTI

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.2969 of 2026

ORDER:

This Court, vide order dated 15.09.2026, directed the respondents to examine the issue and take appropriate action in accordance with law, based on the petitioners' applications/representations dated 07.09.2023 and 16.10.2023, within a period of two (02) months.

2. For non-compliance with the said order, the present Contempt Case came to be filed. The 1st respondent/District Collector, vide proceedings dated 29.09.2026, has considered the applications/representations and rejected the claim of the petitioners on the ground that the subject land in the writ petition is classified as dotted land.

3. However, as the 1st respondent has considered and decided the applications/representations dated 07.09.2023 and 16.10.2023 filed by the petitioners, the respondents have complied with the orders of this Court.

4. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file an appropriate application challenging the proceedings dated 29.09.2026. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, interlocutory applications, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 29.09.2026
RMD

100

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Contempt Case No.2969 of 2026

Date: 29.09.2026
RMD