HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 7TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 30034 OF 2018

BETWEEN:

AITHAPPA GOWDA
S/O. LATE. PAKEERA GOWDA,
R/AT KOOCHELU OF
HIREBANDADY VILLAGE,
PUTTUR TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT-574241

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. YATIN B., ADVOCATE FOR LR'S OF DECD. PETITIONER)

AND:

1. SMT ANITHA RAI
   W/O. A U RAI,
   R/AT NO. 827, 9TH CROSS,
   10TH MAIN, INDIRANAGAR,
   II STATE, BENGLAURU 560038.

2. SMT. NIMMI HEGDE
   AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
   W/O. B K SHETTY,
   R/AT NO. C-157, KPWD QUARTERS,
   NEAR POLICE STATION,
   JEEVANBIMANAGAR,
   BENGALURU 560075.

3. SMT. POORNIMA L RAI
   AGED 63 YEARS

Digitally signed by JUANITA THEJESWINI
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

W/O. LATE. DR. LAKSHMISH RAI,
R/AT C/O. B.L RAI'S HOUSE,
NO. 90, 2ND MAIN, NEW PADAV ROAD,
MANGALURU 575 001.

4. SMT. KALYANI V SHETTY
AGED ABOUT 86 YEARS
W/O. LATE. P V SHETTY,
R/AT LOWER BENDOOR NO. 126,
6TH MAIN, MANGALORE 575 001.

5. THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER
(LAND REFORMS) AND SPECIAL
LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER,
PANCHAYAT JALAVIDYUT YOJANE,
MANGALORE, TALUK OFFICE PUTTUR,
D.K- 574201

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. A KESHAVA BHAT FOR R1-R4., ADVOCATE
R5 THE AUTHROIZED OFFICER, LAND REFORMS,
MANGALURU -SD)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT DATED 19.01.2018 PASSED BY KARNATAKA APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, BENGALURU IN APPEAL NO.838/2012, WHICH IS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-G AND CONSEQUENTLY CONFIRM THE ORDER DATED 10.07.2001 PASSED BY THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER, (LAND REFORMS) & SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER, PANCHAYAT JALAVIDYUT YOJANE, MANGALURU, TALUK OFFICE, PUTTUR, D.K. IN PROCEEDINGS NO.LRF 7.17/1999-2000 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 19.12.2025 the following order was passed:

"Despite granting sufficient opportunity to the learned counsel for the petitioner, he remained absent."

2. Matter is called out. There is no representation for the petitioner.

3. Consequently, the writ petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution***.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

JT/-
CT: JL