HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55856
CRL.P No. 4253 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010272222024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 4253 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

C.R RAVINDRA REDDY
S/O LATE RAMALINGAREDDY,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
R/AT NO 40, CHOKKANAHALLI,
YELAHANKA, BENGALURU NORTH
BENGALURU - 560 064

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S. JAGAN BABU, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE BY POLICE SUB-INSPECTOR
BENGALURU SAMPIGEHALLI POLICE STATION,
BANGALORE-560 077
REP. BY SPP,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BENGALURU - 560 001

2. ANITHA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
NO 40, CHOKKANAHALLI,
JAKKURU POST
YELAHANKA HOBLI,
BENGALURU -560 064

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. JAVEED S, HCGP FOR R1,
SRI. DHANUSH MENON, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

Digitally signed by PANKAJA S
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

CNR: KAHC010272222024

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR IN CR.NO.189/2024 REGISTERED BY RESPONDENT NO.1 SAMPIGEHALLI P.S. BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 341,504,506 OF IPC NOW PENDING ON THE FILE OF THE XLI A.C.M.M BENGALURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for respondent No.2 filed a joint affidavit of the parties. It is stated in the affidavit that the petitioner and respondent No.2 have amicably settled their dispute out of the Court. As such, learned counsel for the parties jointly submits that the proceedings initiated against the petitioner based on the complaint lodged by respondent No.2 be quashed.

2. Placing reliance on their submission and the joint affidavit of the parties, I am of the view that the continuation of the proceedings against the petitioner is nothing but abuse of the process of Court. Admittedly, the offences alleged against the petitioner are non-compoundable in nature. However, having regard to the fact that the dispute between the parties is purely private in nature, and in view of the principles laid

down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***GIAN SINGH V. STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER,*** reported in ***(2012) 10 SCC 303***, I am of the considered opinion that the settlement arrived at between the parties can be taken into consideration.

3. Accordingly, the petitioner and respondent No.2 are permitted to compound the offences.

4. Consequently, the petition is ***disposed of*** in terms of joint affidavit of the parties. The proceedings against the petitioner/accused in Crime No.189/2024 registered by Sampigehalli Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 504 and 506 of IPC, presently, pending on the file of XLI ACMM, Bengaluru is hereby quashed.

SD/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE

CR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23