HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55658-DB
CCC No. 942 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010484472025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.942 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

SRI D. GUDUDAPPA
S/O LATE NEELAPPA
AGED ABOUT 87 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 1928, 5TH CROSS,
20TH MAIN, 2ND PHASE,
J.P.NAGAR, BANGALORE
BANGALORE – 560 078.

…COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA R., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. JAYASIMHA K. P AND KPJ ASSTS., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by PAVITHRA B
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE – 560 001.

2. SRI MAJOR MANIVANNAN P.
THE COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
T. CHOWDAIAH ROAD, (BELLARY ROAD),
BANGALORE – 560 020.

3. KRIPALINI G. K.

THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
T. CHOWDAIAH ROAD, (BELLARY ROAD)
BANGALORE – 560 020

…ACCUSED

(BY SMT. NAMITHA MAHESH B.G., AGA FOR PROFORMA R1
SMT. DEEPTI AYATHAN FOR A2 & A3 (VK FILED FOR
A2 ONLY)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT R/W ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR DISOBEYING THE ORDERS OF THIS HON'BLE COURT PASSED IN WP NO.13223/2024 (LA-BDA) DATED 11.11.2024 AS PER THE DIRECTION OF THIS HON'BLE COURT AS PER ANNEXURE-A AND PUNISH THE RESPONDENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. A compliance affidavit has been filed today in court by the learned counsel for the accused. Paragraph Nos.6, 7 and 8 of the compliance affidavit read as follows:

> *"6. I state that in active implementation of the directions of this Hon'ble Court, the Bangalore Development Authority initiated immediate administrative action to process the Complainant's request. Initially, a Letter of Information bearing No.BDA/ALAO/LAC/393/08-09/2025-26 dated 03.02.2026 was issued to the Complainant, clarifying the land details and proposal to place the said matter before the Government for final administrative determination. The said Letter of information was filed on record before this Hon'ble Court along with a Memo on 20.02.2026.*
>
> *7. I state that subsequently, the Government issued Government Order No.UDD 175 MNX 2020(E) dated 24.08.2026. I further state that following the decision of the Government, the application of the Complainant was meticulously considered on its merits. Since the special incentive scheme strictly applies to those landowners in the NadaprabhuKempegowda Layout who received only cash compensation, the complainant, having received a mixed compensation of partial cash compensation and partial developed sites, was found ineligible under the current framework of the scheme. A copy of the said Government Order is produced as ANNEXURE-R1.*
>
> *8. I state that in compliance with the directions of this Hon'ble Court to consider the representation in accordance with law, I have examined the representation of the Complainant and issued a detailed Notice/Endorsement bearing No.BDA/ALAO/191/2026-27 dated 25/09/2026, communicating the decision and informing the Complainant that if the Government issues directions in the future to allot sites in cases of partial distribution, his request will be duly considered in accordance with the rules. A copy of the said Endorsement dated 25.09.2026 is produced herewith as Annexure – R2."*

3. A copy of the Government Order and the copy of the Endorsement dated 25.09.2026 are enclosed as Annexures-R1 and R2 respectively.

4. In view of the aforesaid decision on the petitioner's application, we find that the order of the learned Single Judge in the writ petition has been complied with. This petition is consigned. It is however open to the complainant to seek such remedy against the Endorsement, as he may be advised, in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(N.V.BHAVANI)
JUDGE

DR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 17