HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:56303
WP No. 30598 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010670562026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 30598 OF 2026 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI NARASIMHAMURTHY
S/O MUNIGA,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
WORKING AS TAX INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER,
SHANTHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
12TH FLOOR, MAYOHALL, MG ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001.

2. SRI. R. MURTHY
S/O RAMANNA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
WORKING AS TAX INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER,
DOMMLLUR SUB-DIVISION, 12TH FLOOR,
MAYOHALL, MG ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001.

3. SRI. ATHAULLA
S/O ABDUL RASHEED,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
WORKING AS TAX INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER,
SHANTHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
12TH FLOOR, MAYOHALL, MG ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001.

Digitally signed by VIDYA G R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

4. SRI. L. M. MURTHY
S/O LAKSHMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
WORKING AS TAX INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER,
CV RAMAN NAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
COX TOWN, MM ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 005.

5. SRI. SEENU
S/O PENCHALAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
WORKING AS TAX INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER,
VASANTH NAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
QUEENS ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 052.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SRINIVAS V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
M.S. BUILDING,
BANGALORE-560 001.

2. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER,
GREATER BANGALORE AUTHORITY,
N.R. SQUARE,
BANGALORE -560 002.

3. THE COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY CENTRAL CORPORATION,

10TH FLOOR, PUBLIC UTILITY BUILDING,
MG ROAD,
BANGALORE -560 001.

4. THE SPECIAL COMMISSIONER (ADMIN)
GREATER BANGALORE AUTHORITY,
N.R.SQUARE,
BANGALORE -560 002.

5. SRI. VISHWANATH G
S/O LATE. GUNDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF REVENUE OFFICER
BYATARAYANAPURA, YELAHANKA, BBMP
BANGALORE-560 063.

6. SRI. NARAYANASWAMY H
S/O LATE HANUMANTHAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF REVENUE OFFICER
SARVAGNYANAGARA, BBMP
BANGALORE-560 005.

7. SRI. NAGAPPA A
S/O LATE ANKAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF REVENUE OFFICER
BYATARAYANAPURA, BBMP
BANGALORE-560 024.

8. SRI. TEJAPRAKASH
S/O LATE VEERABADRAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF ARO,

BEGURU BBMP
BANGALORE-560 068.

9. SRI. A. MURTHY
S/O APPAIAH NAIDU,
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF ARO,
RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR, BBMP
BANGALORE-560 098.

10. SRI. K.V.NARAYANASWAMY
S/O LATE VENKATARAMANAPPA
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
R/AT TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF ARO
UTTARAHALLI BBMP
BANGALORE-560 061.

11. SRI. GOPALKRISHNA
S/O LAKSHMINARASIMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF ARO
CHICKPET BBMP
BANGALORE-560 053.

12. SRI. N. RAMAKRISHNA
S/O NARASIMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
TAX INSPECTOR, OFFICE OF ARO
MALLESHWARAM BBMP
BANGALORE-560 003.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. MAMATHA SHETTY, AGA FOR R1;
SRI. B.L. SANJEEV, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

CNR: KAHC010670562026

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION QUASHING THE ORDER DATED 06.12.2025 BEARING NO.B12(1B)PR/ /2025-26, PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-C ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 INSOFAR AS IT GRANTS RESPONDENT NOS.5 TO 12 RETROSPECTIVE SENIORITY IN THE CADRE OF TAX INSPECTOR AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioners has filed a memo along with the copy of the order dated 24.09.2026. The said memo reads as hereunder:

> *"The petitioners in the above case submits that they came to know that the order impugned in the instant case have not been given effect to and in fact the request of the respondent nos.5 t0 12 have been rejected vide order dated-24/09/2026 and hence nothing survives for consideration in the instant case and this writ petition may be dismissed having become infructuous and the same may be taken on record in the interest of justice and equity."*

2. In light of the submission made, the petition is ***dismissed*** as not calling for adjudication, in light of the stand taken by the petitioners in the memo.

Sd/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

MCR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 14