HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55925
WP No. 31212 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010682742026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 06TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.31212 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MR. H.C. MANJUNATHA
S/O H.R. CHANDRASHEKHAR,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
R/AT 'RAMESHWARA NILAYA',
KANADAL ROAD, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY- 577101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. H.R. SANTHOSH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
M.S. BUILDING,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560001.

2. THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
MYSURU DIVISION, UPOR OFFICE BUILDING,
GOVERNMENT BUILDING PREMISES,
NAZARBAD,
MYSURU – 570010.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT – 577101.

4. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT – 5771010.

5. THE TAHSILDAR
CHIKKAMAGALURU TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT – 577101.

6. MR. NATARAJ,
S/O LATE LAKSHMANACHAR,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
R/AT KANADAL ROAD,
BHAVI CIRCLE, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY-577101.

7. MR. MALLARAJ ARASU,
S/O LATE. MARIRAJU,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS
KANADAL ROAD, BHAVI CIRCLE,
KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

8. MRS. PADMAVATHI,
W/O KRISHNAMURTHY,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
DANIHALLI BUILDING,
KANADAL ROAD,
BHAVI CIRCLE, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

9. MR. RAMESH,
S/O ANANTHARAMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS
WATER TANK ROAD,
OPPOSITE PARK, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

10. MR. ANANTHU RAMESH,
S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
'MANDAHAASA NILAYA',
WATER TANK ROAD,
OPPOSITE PARK, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

11. MR. SHIVARAM,
S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS
'GANDARVA NILAYA',
CHANNAPURA ROAD,
RAILWAY JUNCTION CROSS, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

12. MR. HOOVEGOWDA,
S/O RAAMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
SHAKUNA RANGANATHA NILAYA
KANADAL ROAD, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

13. MR. DHANANJAY
S/O LAKKEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
KANADAL ROAD, STEEL CIRCLE ROAD,

KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

14. MR. MALLARAJU ARASU
S/O LATE GOPALARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
MAALATHESH NILAYA, KANADAL ROAD,
STEEL CIRCLE ROAD, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY- 577101.

15. MRS. LALITHAMMA
W/O LATE RAMESH,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
CHANNAPURA ROAD, STEEL CIRCLE,
KOTE, CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY-577101.

16. MR. KUMAR
S/O AJJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
KUMAR SHOP, STEEL CIRCLE,
KOTE, CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY-577101.

17. MRS. SUPRITHA
W/O LATE. SOORI,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
WATER TANK ROAD, STEEL CIRCLE,
KOTE, CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY-577101.

18. MR. MANOJ
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
KANADAL ROAD, KOTE,
CHIKKAMAGALURU CITY -577101.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 19.09.2026 AT (ANNEXURE- A) PASSED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT IN J.D.L.R. R.P. NO. 23/2026, INSOFAR AS IT REJECTS THE PETITIONER'S APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 25 OF THE KARNATAKA LAND REVENUE ACT FOR LOCAL INSPECTION AND A PROPER REPORT; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

2. Heard Sri H.R. Santhosh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent-State.

3. In this writ petition, the petitioner is assailing the order dated 19.09.2026 (Annexure-A) passed by respondent No.2 in J.D.L.R.RP. No.23 /2026 rejecting the application made by the petitioner under Section 25 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 *inter-alia* sought for conducting joint spot inspection and other reliefs.

4. Taking into consideration the fact that, the petitioner has preferred appeal before the respondent No.2, challenging the order passed by respondent No.3, I am of the view that, the finding recorded by the respondent No.2 is just and proper and no interference is called for in this writ petition as the respondent No.2 has exercised the discretion in respect of conducting spot inspection.

5. In that view of the matter, as the dispute is pending consideration before respondent No.2, I am of

the view that, no interference is called for in this writ petition. Accordingly, writ petition is ***dismissed***.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 41