HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55666-DB
CCC No. 1379 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010698352025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1379 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1. SRI.NARASIMHA MURTHY,
S/O LATE BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 88 YEARS,

2. SRI. JAYARAM,
S/O LATE BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,

3. SRI.NARAYANA,
S/O LATE BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,

4. SRI. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,

ALL ARE R/AT:
BUKKASAGARA VILLAGE,
JIGANI HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,
BENGALURU-560 105.

...COMPLAINANTS

(BY SRI.GANESHA.P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. JAGADEESH.G,
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,

Digitally signed by K G RENUKAMBA
Location: High Court of Karnataka

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
K.G. ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 009.

…ACCUSED

2. STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M S BUILDING,
DR.AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU-560 001.

…PROFORMA RESPONDENT

(BY SMT.B.SUKANYA BALIGA, AGA FOR PROFORMA R2 & A1)

THIS CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, 1971, BY THE COMPLAINANT PRAYING TO HOLD THE ACCUSED HEREIN GUILTY OF CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER DATED 02.04.2025 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.NO.13997/2022 (KLR-RR-SUR) VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND FURTHER BE PLEASED TO PUNISH THE ACCUSED WITH IMPRISONMENT OF SIX MONTHS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

This contempt petition is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 02.04.2025 passed by this Court in Writ Petition 13997/2022.

2. By the order of the Supreme Court, the Revenue Authorities were directed to abide by the order of the Deputy Commissioner passed in Revision Petition No. 247/2009-10 dated 05.02.2014. The Deputy Commissioner was directed to effectuate the order that he had passed.

3. We have perused the affidavit filed by Sri. Jagadeesh G., the Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru, filed on 13.03.2026, in Paragraphs- 6 and 7 of which it is stated as follows:-

> 6. *I further state that, subsequent to the order dated 02.04.2025 passed in WP No.13997/2022. On 25.04.2025 the Petitioner/Complainant hearing submitted a representation to the Office of the Deputy Commissioner requesting to give thumb impression to the system to effectuate the order passed in Revision No. 247/2009-10 dated 05.02.2014. In view of the aforesaid order passed in the said Writ Petition thumb impression is given by the Office of Deputy Commissioner No. 128856 and in view of the same, the name of the Petitioner is shown in respect of Survey No.162 land in question and necessary entries have been made in the RTC as well as in mutations reports. The copy of the latest RTC issued for the year 2025-26 the latest Mutation 22.01.2026. issued in*

respect of bearing MR No.T17/2021-22 in respect of land in question (Sy.No.162), the Petitioner/Complainant herein (Narasimhamurthy, Bin Basappa) to an extent of 4 acres 3 Guntas are produced herewith and marked as Annexure-R1 and R2.

7. I state that necessary entries have been made in favour of the Petitioner/Complainant herein, as per the order passed in Revision Petition No. 247/2009-10 dated 05.02.2014, thereby the order dated 02.04.2025 passed in WP No. 13997/2022 has been hereby complied with and there is no any alleged willful disobedience to the aforesaid order as alleged by the Petitioner/Complainant herein in the present Contempt Petition.

4. An unconditional apology has also been tendered.

5. Learned counsel has referred to the RTC/Pahani that is enclosed as Annexure-R1 in the affidavit to contend that the name of the complainant has been incorporated in Column No.6 thereof.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent states that along with the names of the petitioner, names of other persons

in whose favour mutation was done by the Assistant Commissioner, are still being shown.

7. Learned Additional Government Advocate states that just after the monsoon season, the names of those persons, whose names were mutated by the order of the Assistant Commissioner, shall automatically be removed by the software.

8. In the view of the aforesaid statements, **while consigning this petition**, we observe that it shall be open for the complainant to seek revival of this contempt petition, if the details in column No. 12 of the RTC are not properly addressed.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(N.V.BHAVANI)
JUDGE

KGR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 22