IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TANGIRALA MADHAVI DEVI

WRIT PETITION NO: 34412 OF 2026

Between:

ATTILLI RAVINDER REDDY, S/o. Narsimha Reddy, Aged about 59 Yrs, Occ ; Agriculture and Advocate, R/o. H.No. 18-394/F/B, Mallikarjuna Colony, Farooq Nagar Mandal, Shad Nagar, RR District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Future City Commissionerate. Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Shad Nagar Zone, Future City Commissionerate.
4. The Station House Officer, Kondurg Police Station (L and 0), Future City Commissionerate.
5. Akula Ravi Kumar, S/o. Late. Akula Venkaiah, Aged about 62 Yrs, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.3-240, Padmavathi Colony, Shad Nagar, Ranga Reddy District.
6. Itiyala Rajaiah, S/o. Anjaiah, Aged about 45 Yrs, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o. Yellampally (V), Farooq Nagar (M), R.R. Dist.
7. Smt. Mogulamma, W/o. Late. Krishnaiah, Aged about 50 Yrs, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o. Srirangapur Village, Kondurg Mandal, RR Dist.
8. Venkatesh, S/o. Not Known, Aged about 40 Yrs, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o. Srirangapur (V), Kondurg (M), RR Dist
9. Smt. Lakshmamma, W/o. Venkatesh, Aged about 35 Yrs, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o. H. No. 3-240, Padmavathi Colony, R/o. Srirangapur (V), Kondurg (M), RR Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an Order, Direction or Writ, more particularly a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents No.2 to 4 in not providing police protection to protect Petitioner's property from the hands Respondents No.5 to 9, as per Representation/Complaint lodged by the Petitioner herein, which is voilative of Principles of natural justice Under Article of 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents No. 3 and 4 to provide police protection to protect Petitioner's property i.e. Land Adm. Ac.0-33 Gts in Sy.Nos. 136 (Sub Division Nos. 136/A, 136/E2, 136/E3, 136/EE2) consisting of Two Poultry Sheds 86 Land Adm. Ac.0-20 Gts in Sy.Nos. 138 consisting of One Poultry Shed, total land Adm. Ac. 1-13 Gts (In One Compact Bit) situated at Srirangapur Village of Kondurg Mandal, Ranga Reddy District from the hands Respondents No.5 to 9 as per Representation/ Complaint, Dt. 28-07-2026 lodged by the Petitioner herein.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2026

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents No. 3 and 4 to provide police protection to protect the Petitioner's property i.e. Land Adm. Ac.0-33 Gts in Sy.Nos. 136 (Sub Division Nos. 136/A, 136/E2, 136/E3, 136/EE2) consisting of Two Poultry Sheds 86 Land Adm. Ac.0-20 Gts in Sy.Nos. 138 consisting of One Poultry Shed, total land Adm. Ac. 1-13 Gts (In One Compact Bit) situated at Srirangapur Village of Kondurg Mandal, Ranga Reddy District from the hands Respondents No. 5 to 9 as per Representation/ Complaint, Dt. 28-07-2026 lodged by the Petitioner herein.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI GAFAR MAHAMMAD

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : SRI B.SRIDHAR, AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5 to 9 : -

The Court made the following ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SMT.JUSTICE TANGIRALA MADHAVI DEVI

WRIT PETITION No.34412 of 2026
(CNR No. HBHC010666842026)

DATE: 13.10.2026

Between:

Attilli Ravinder Reddy ... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana and others ... Respondents

:: ORDER ::

Alleging inaction on the part of respondents No.2 to 4 in considering the representation dated 28.07.2026 submitted by the petitioner, requesting police protection to protect his property from respondents No.5 to 9, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. Heard Mr. Gafar Mahamamd, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri B.Sridhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, appearing for respondents Nos. 1 to 4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of land admeasuring Ac. 0-33

guntas in Survey No. 136 (Sub-Division Nos. 136/A, 136/E2, 136/E3 and 136/EE2), comprising two poultry sheds, and land admeasuring Ac. 0-20 guntas in Survey No. 138, comprising one poultry shed, aggregating to a total extent of Ac.1-13 guntas in one compact bit, situated at Srirangapur Village, Kondurg Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. He submitted that the petitioner purchased the said property under a registered sale deed and has been in peaceful possession and enjoyment thereof since the date of purchase. He further submitted that, owing to the interference and harassment by the unofficial respondents, the petitioner filed O.S.No.104 of 2018 on the file of the Family Court-cum-II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mahaboobnagar (hereinafter referred to as "the trial Court") and that the petitioner also filed I.A. No. 548 of 2018 in O.S. No. 104 of 2018, seeking an ad interim injunction and upon hearing both sides, the trial Court, by order dated 02.08.2019, granted an injunction restraining respondent No.5 herein, his followers and henchmen from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property. It is submitted that aggrieved by the said order, respondent No. 5 herein preferred C.M.A. No. 894 of 2019 before this Court and filed I.A.No.1 of 2019 in the said C.M.A., seeking suspension of the

order dated 02.08.2019 and by order dated 23.08.2021, this Court suspended the order passed by the trial Court and that thereafter the petitioner filed I.A. No. 1 of 2024 in C.M.A.No.894 of 2019, seeking vacation of the interim order and by order dated 28.04.2026, this Court vacated the interim order passed in I.A. No. 1 of 2019.

3.1 Learned counsel further submitted that, despite the subsistence of the injunction order, respondents No.5 to 9 trespassed into the subject property and threatened the petitioner due to which the petitioner approached the Kondurg Police Station and lodged a complaint on 26.07.2026; however, the police refused to receive the complaint. It is submitted that the petitioner also submitted a representation dated 26.07.2026 to respondents No.2 to 4, requesting police protection to safeguard his property from respondents No.5 to 9, but the police refused to receive the same and therefore, on 28.07.2026, the petitioner sent a representation/complaint to respondents No.2 to 4 by Speed Post, requesting police protection. It is the grievance of the petitioner that despite receipt of the said representation, respondents No.2 to 4 failed to take any action. Hence, the present writ petition.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submitted that the petitioner had sent a representation dated 28.07.2026 by post to respondent No.4. Upon receipt of the representation, the police made a petition entry and conducted an enquiry into the matter and that the enquiry revealed that the dispute between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents was civil in nature. It is submitted that upon completion of the enquiry, the petitioner was advised to approach the competent civil Court for redressal of his grievance, and the complaint was closed as civil in nature on 03.08.2026 and that the same was communicated to the petitioner through the Petition Management System. It is further submitted that as of now, the petitioner did not obtain any specific police protection orders from any Court or authority, however, respondent No.4 will provide police protection to the petitioner and his property if there is any specific court orders.

5. Having regard to the rival contentions and the material on record, it is seen that the petitioner had obtained an order of injunction dated 02.08.2019 in I.A. No. 548 of 2018 in O.S. No. 104 of 2018. When a person in whose favour an injunction order has been passed

approaches the police seeking protection for the effective implementation of such order, the police authorities are required to consider the request and extend the necessary police protection to ensure due implementation of the orders passed by the competent civil Court.

6. In view of the above, this Court deems it fit and proper to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the petitioner to submit a representation to the respondent police, specifying the date, time and place at which police protection is required. Upon receipt of such representation, the respondent police shall consider the same and provide the necessary police protection in accordance with law.

7. With the above directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Sd/-K.V.O.NARSI BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Future City Commissionerate. Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Shad Nagar Zone, Future City Commissionerate.
4. The Station House Officer, Kondurg Police Station (L and O), Future City Commissionerate.

5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. One CC to SRI GAFAR MAHAMMAD, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies

SA



C.C.TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED: 13/10/2026

ORDER

WP.No.34412 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE W.P

WITHOUT COSTS.

10