IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16073 of 2026

(CNR.No. HBHC010676632026)

DATE: 16.10.2026

BETWEEN:

Gaddipati Rangit Kumar

.....Petitioner-Accused

And

1.The State of Telangana,
Through SHO Miyapur Police Station,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad. ..Respondent No.1-State

2.Pedapudi Akhila Ratnasri ...Respondent No.2-complainant

ORDER

1. This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in connection with FIR No.1219 of 2026 of Miyapur Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate. The offence alleged against the petitioner-accused is punishable under Section 69 of BNS.

2. Heard Sri R. Eswar Chander, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent–State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner-accused is falsely implicated in the case and he is nothing to do with the alleged offence, did not commit any offence as alleged by the de-facto complainant. Petitioner-accused is arrested on 07.09.2026 and remanded to the judicial custody on the same day, since then he is in jail, entire investigation is completed and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation agency. Petitioner-accused has filed bail application before the learned Sessions Court which came to be dismissed on 24.09.2026 and prayed to enlarge the petitioner-accused on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that Section 183 of BNSS statement of the victim is recorded on 05.09.2026 and the role played by the petitioner-accused is clearly depicted in the remand case diary.

5. Counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that the complainant has earlier filed a complaint before P.S.

Gachibowli against the petitioner-accused, basing on which FIR.No.733 of 2025 is registered under Section 69 of BNS. Petitioner-accused has obtained anticipatory bail from the learned Sessions Court. Petitioner-accused has violated Section 480(3)(b)(c) of BNSS and he is a habitual offender, bail cannot be granted.

6. Respondent No.2 has lodged a report before P.S. Miyapur 21.08.2026. It is stated in the complaint that in the month of January 2025, she came in contact with Gaddipati Ranjit Kumar through the Bumble application, after communicating with him for a few days they met in person and subsequently Ranjit Kumar proposed marriage to her and she accepted. On 07.03.2025 he had sexual intercourse against the will of the complainant thereafter she lodged a complaint before P.S. Gachibowli and a case in FIR.No.733 of 2025 came to be registered. At the instance of Ranjit Kumar she again came to India in the month of March 2026 to finalize the marriage. On 28.03.2026 Ranjit Kumar took her to a café and informed her that he would speak to his mother regarding their marriage. On 30.03.2026 at about 10:30 AM they met at Genesis International School, thereafter

they travelled in his car during which they had a discussion about the marriage and marital life in Canada, during the conversation Ranjith Kumar proposed to have sexual intercourse with the complainant stating that they are going to marry, she agreed for the same. On 01.04.2026 complainant entered into MOU with Ranjith Kumar to withdrawal of previous case. Basing on the said complaint, FIR came to be registered.

7. Petitioner-accused is arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.09.2026, since then he is in jail.

8. Remand case diary goes to show that respondent has altogether examined 18 witnesses in support of the case of the prosecution.

9. Statement of the victim is already recorded on 05.09.2026 under Section 183 of BNSS.

10. On reading of Section 480(3)(b)(c) of BNSS which states that “Such person shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused”, “shall not make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

11. Respondent No.2 has lodged a complaint before P.S. Gachibowli which came to be registered as Crime No.733 of 2025 under Section 69 of BNS. The present crime is also registered under Section 69 of BNS in so far as the last incident occurred on 30.03.2026.

12. The contention of respondent No.2 counsel is that, the petitioner-accused is a habitual offender, which cannot be accepted for the reason that earlier crime and the present crime are registered under Section 69 of BNS.

13. Record goes to shows that entire investigation is completed, furthermore, statement of the victim under Section 183 of BNSS is recorded on 05.09.2026. Hence, petitioner-accused is entitled to be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

i. The petitioner-accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned VI Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.

ii. The petitioner-accused shall appear before the concerned SHO between 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

iv. The petitioner-accused shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

v. It is made clear that if any of the conditions imposed by this Court are violated, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail.

14. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO, J

Date: 16.10.2026
TU

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.16073 of 2026

(CNR.No. HBHC010676632026)

DATE: 16.10.2026

TU