CNR No: PHHC010615672026

2026:PHHC:128872

CRM-M-21418-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

229 **CRM-M-21418-2026**
Date of decision: 11.09.2026

ASHOK KUMAR AND ANOTHER **…PETITIONERS**
VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER **...RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Manvender Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
For respondents No.2 and 3.

***

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.397 dated 16.09.2021 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 IPC, 1860 Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 03.04.2026. Section 325 of IPC has been added in the FIR during investigation.

2. On 21.04.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 03.04.2026.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panipat on 06.06.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Sunil Kumar was recorded on 04.07.2026.

JYOTI
2026.09.11 18:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CNR No: PHHC010615672026
2026:PHHC:128872

CRM-M-21418-2026

Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panipat, has submitted her report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

> *"1.As per report of Investigating Officer, there are two accused persons in the present FIR namely Sh. Ashok Kumar son of Sh. Lahna Singh and Sh. Dheeraj son of Sh. Ashok Kumar.*
>
> *2. As per report of Investigating Officer, there are two complainant/victims in the present FIR namely Sh. Rajesh Kumar son of Sh. Lahna Singh and Sh. Aman Kumar son of Sh. Rajesh Kumar.*
>
> *3. All the complainant/victims and accused persons are party to the compromise deed but complainant Sh. Aman Kumar is represented through Special Power of Attorney i.e. his mother namely Smt. Saroj wife of Sh. Rajesh Kumar, r/o village Matlauda, District Panipat. They are all parties before the Hon'ble High Court in the quashing petition. Smt. Saroj wife of Sh. Rajesh Kumar has signed on the compromise deed Ex.PX on behalf of Sh. Aman Kumar (injured) and original Special Power of Attorney (Ex.PY) is on record.*
>
> *4. As per the report of Investigating Officer, no other affected person (accused or complainant) is left out/not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before the Hon'ble High Court.*

JYOTI
2026.09.11 18:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CNR No: PHHC010615672026
2026:PHHC:128872



5. As per the report of Investigating Officer, no proceedings are pending against the accused persons for declaring them as proclaimed offender/person and they have not been declared as such by any Court.

6. With respect to the genuineness, authenticity and veracity of the compromise, it is submitted that parties were questioned orally whether they are voluntarily settling the matter to which they replied in the affirmative. Further, from the demeanor of the parties also they did not appear to be under any coercion or undue influence. Therefore, prima-facie it appears that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

7. There is no other aspect relevant to the present case worth reporting."

4. Learned State counsel has not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***"Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another" 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***"Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others"(2012) 10 SCC 303,*** the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.397 dated 16.09.2021 under Sections 323, 34, 506 and 325 IPC, 1860 Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat, Haryana and all

JYOTI
2026.09.11 18:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CNR No: PHHC010615672026
2026:PHHC:128872

consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise dated 03.04.2026.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

11.09.2026
Jyoti-V

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*

JYOTI
2026.09.11 18:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document