2025:PHHC:106403-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23728 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.08.2025

Himanshu

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gauri Neo Rampal, Sr. Standing Counsel
for respondent-Income Tax Department.

****

LISA GILL, J.

1. Challenge in the present petition is to notice dated 31.03.2023, issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short 'Act 1961'), assessment order dated 19.03.2024 (wrongly mentioned as 19.03.2025 in prayer clause), for the Assessment Year 2019-2020 and all subsequent proceedings on the ground that Issuing Authority had no jurisdiction to issue the same, in view of circular/notification dated 29.03.2022 of the CBDT, wherein, it has been specifically enumerated that National Faceless Assessment Centre (NFAC) has exclusive power to issue notice under Section 148 of the Act, 1961.

SANJAY KHAN
2025.08.19 14:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh

2. Learned counsel for petitioner contends that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the cases of Jatinder Singh Bhangu vs. Union of India and others, passed in CWP No. 15745-2024 and connected matter, decided on 19.07.2024 and Jasjit Singh vs. Union of India and others (CWP No. 21509- 2023 and other connected matters), decided on 29.07.2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has preferred an appeal and the same is pending before the Appellate Authority.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents-Income Tax have also not disputed the same.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the file with their able assistance.

5. Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) and Jasjit Singh's case (supra), allowed the writ petitions on the same issue, as raised in the present writ petition, by granting liberty to the revenue to follow the procedure as laid down under the Act, 1961 and proceed accordingly, if so advised. Relevant portion of decision dated 19.07.2024 in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) reads as under:-

> "15. From the perusal of Section 151A, it is quite evident that scheme of faceless assessment is applicable from the stage of show cause notice under Section 148 as well as 148A. Clause 3(b) of notification dated 29.03.2022 issued under Section 151A clearly provides that scheme would be applicable to notice under Section 148. Even otherwise, it is a settled proposition of law that assessment proceedings commence from the stage of issuance of show cause notice. The object of introduction of faceless assessment would be defeated if show cause notice under Section 148 is issued by Jurisdictional

SANJAY KHAN
2025.08.19 14:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh

Assessing Officer. The respondents are heavily placing reliance upon office memorandum and letter issued by departmental authorities. It is axiomatic in tax jurisprudence that circulars, instructions and letters issued by Board or any other authority cannot override statutory provisions. The circulars are binding upon authorities and Courts are not bound by circulars. The mandate of Section 144B, 151A read with notification dated 29.03.2022 issued thereunder is quite lucid. There is no ambiguity in the language of statutory provisions, thus, office memorandum or any other instruction issued by Board or any other authority cannot be relied upon."

6. As appeal in the present proceedings is pending before the Appellate Authority, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the same in terms of Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra), decided on 19.07.2024 and Jasjit Singh's case (supra), decided on 29.07.2024.

7. All the pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

18.08.2025
s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

SANJAY KHAN
2025.08.19 14:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh