CNR No: PHHC011443402026
2026:PHHC:128796

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-29527-2026
Date of decision: 11.09.2026

Bahadar Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. T.C. Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr. Karanpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

****

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner either as SPO in Punjab Police or as Home Guard in Home Guards Department, with all consequential benefits as he has already rendered uninterrupted clean service to the State as SPO and Home Guard for about 32 years, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jaggo and others v. Union of India and others : AIR 2025 SC 296***.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also made representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a

VINAY
2026.09.11 15:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment

speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On receipt of advance copy, Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to decide representation dated 07.02.2026 (Annexure P-22) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

11.09.2026 (**NAMIT KUMAR**)
Vinay **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

VINAY
2026.09.11 15:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment