IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-10882-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2026

PALAK KAUR AND ANOTHER

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

***

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both petitioners who are in live-in relationship have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is clerical error in the memo of parties and Senior Superintendent of Bathinda has been impleaded as respondent No.2, though the representation has actually been addressed to Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, Gurdaspur.

3. The memo of parties is ordered to be corrected accordingly. Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, Gurdaspur be substituted in place of Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda as respondent No.2.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 was born on 12.04.2006 and petitioner No.2 on 15.01.2005. Petitioner No.1 was married to son of respondent No.8, who lived abroad. Learned counsel further submits that both petitioners had developed an emotional bond and started living together. Respondent Nos.4 to 9 were

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document

unhappy with the relationship and were extending threats to eliminate the petitioners. Petitioners moved representation dated 26.08.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, Gurdaspur for protection of their life and liberty but to no avail.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. Heard.

6. Life and liberty of petitioners has to be protected irrespective of the fact that their relationship does not have approval of society.

7. Without commenting on the validity of relationship of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide representation dated 26.08.2026 (Annexure P-3) within a period of one month. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, necessary steps as per law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

8. However, this order shall neither validate the live-in-status between the petitioners nor shall have any bearing on any civil or criminal action, initiated or to be initiated against them.

9. Disposed of accordingly.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

10.09.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document