IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRWP-11006-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2026

SUKHWINDER KAUR SALH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Anupam Mathur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

***

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. The Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeks following reliefs:

i) Direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of petitioner and her family members, at the hands of private respondents and take strict legal action against them in the case arising out of FIR No.128 dated 16.05.2026 under Sections 109, 115(2), 117(2), 126(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3), 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station, Civil Lines, District Patiala.

ii) Directions to official respondents to decide petitioner's representation dated 27.08.2026 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's husband was attacked by private respondents with intention to commit murder. In that regard, on 15.05.2026, FIR was registered in Police Station, Civil Lines, District Patiala. The culprits in the FIR, who were petitioner's neighbours had secured bail. Petitioner's husband was on bed rest, having

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document

suffered severe head injuries. Petitioner had credible information that another life threatening attack was being planned by private respondents through paid gangsters. A representation in this regard, for protection of life and liberty of petitioner was moved to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, but no action was taken.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents and submits that petitioner's representation was received on 09.09.2026 and is under process.

5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide the aforesaid representation dated 27.08.2026 (Annexures P-2), by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of 01 month from today and take necessary action as per law, if so required.

6. In case, official respondents do not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P-2), the petitioner shall be informed as per mandate of law.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

10.09.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document