IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

110

CRWP-11039-2026

Date of Decision:-11.09.2026

Nikhahat Parveen & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI

Present:- Mr. Sahil Saggu, Advocate,
Mr. Lovish Dhiman, Advocate &
Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rituraj Singh, DAG Punjab.

***

RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are unmarried and are living in relationship with each other out of their free will and consent but against the wishes of the private respondents because of which the said respondents are now threatening life and liberty of the petitioners; and that representation Annexure P-4 of the petitioners moved before the respondent no.2 has till date not been decided.

2. Notice of the petition to the official respondents at this stage.

3. Mr. Rituraj Singh, DAG Punjab accepts notice on their behalf and makes a statement at Bar that she does not intend to file response to the

VINAY MAHAJAN
2026.09.11 18:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

petition.

4. Without commenting anything upon the validity or otherwise of the alleged relationship of the petitioners and also upon merits of the petition in question, since the petitioners apprehend danger to their life and liberty from the private respondents, the present petition, at this stage, is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to look into the representation Annexure P-4 and if the need be, ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

5. All interim application/s, if any, also stand/s disposed off.

(RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI)
JUDGE

11.09.2026
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	***Yes/No***
Whether reportable	***Yes/No***

VINAY MAHAJAN
2026.09.11 18:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh