IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-M-51605-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2026

RANJIT SINGH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Gurinderjit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

***

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.89 dated 27.07.2022 under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149 IPC, Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station, Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. The facts, as narrated in the FIR, noticed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran in his order dated 08.08.2023 regarding which no dispute has been raised, are as follows:

> *"The brief facts of the case are that present FIR has been registered at the instance of Manjinder Singh son of late Ajmer Singh resident of Kambo Dhai Wala of police Station Chohla Sahib to the effect that he is educated up to +2 standard and is engaged in agriculture work and there was a 100KV transformer installed in the village which was supplying electricity to several houses during summer period. On account of fluctuation of the electricity normally fuse used to go out of order and the people used to put fuse by themselves. On 24.07.2022 during evening time, the electricity was off. The complainant along with*

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document

Gurwinder Singh, Varinder Singh and Davinder Singh were proceeded towards the transformer and when they read near the house of Kulwant Singh son of Hakam Singh they saw that switch of the transformer was got off and Ranjit Singh armed with riffle 12 bore, Kawaljit Singh armed with kapa, Jaswant Singh armed with dang, Balwant Singh armed with dang, Sukhdev Singh armed with dang, Gurmeet Singh armed with dang, Karamjit Singh armed with dang and Bakhshish Singh armed with dang residents of village Kambo Dhai Wala were standing. At about 8:00 P.M. when the complainant asked the reasons the got off transformer then Jaswant Singh and Balwant Singh raised lalkara let us caught hold the complainant and others and they will not be spared today. There is no reason ask the question to us and let us teach them a lesson for taking forcibly possession of land for in mand area. Gurmeet Singh caused dang blow on the complainant which hit on his left toe and Sukhdev Singh, Karamjit Singh and Bakhshish Singh gave dang blows whereas Kawaljit Singh gave reverse side kappa blow on the complainant which hit on the head of the complainant and Ranjit Singh given fire gun shot in order to kill the complainant and thereupon his friends fled away and entered into the house of Kulwant Singh but the entire occurrence was witnessed with the help of solar light installed in the street. Thereupon Ranjit Singh fired gun shot in order to kill the complainant but the complainant in order to save himself he sit down and he was saved whereas he received pellet injuries of the gun shot and thereafter all the accused fled away from the place of occurrence. The complainant was taken to civil hospital and thereafter he was referred to Civil Hospital, Tarn Taran where he is still under treatment."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter had since been compromised with the complainant party and the petition for quashing, on the basis of compromise, had also been filed, which was pending before this Court. Therefore, petitioner be allowed to join investigation and he be enlarged on anticipatory bail. She, however, concedes that vide order

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document

dated 22.08.2023, petitioner has been declared a ‘proclaimed person’ in the present case.

4. Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate appears on his own, on behalf of respondent No.2 and files his memorandum of appearance, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file his power of attorney in the Registry of this Court.

5. The benefit of anticipatory bail cannot be extended to a person, who has evaded the process of law and has been declared ‘proclaimed person’. The petition for anticipatory bail is accordingly dismissed.

6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

10.09.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*

HARPREET SINGH CHAUHAN
2026.09.11 12:04
I agree to specified portions of
this document