$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010256182026**

\+ CRL.M.C. 4387/2026 & CRL.M.A. 17724/2026

SADIQUE MALIK .....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

GOVT OF NCT DELHI & ANR. .....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP with Mr. Siddharth Shankar Jha and Ms. Kalpana Jha, Advocates for the State.
(M): 9810442918
9968257999
Email: nawalkishorejha@live.com
Mr. Saurav Seth, Advocate for respondent no. 2.
SI Aarushi, PS Shaheen Bagh.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

O R D E R

% 12.10.2026

1. None appears for the petitioner when the matter is called out.

2. It is noted that the present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS"), corresponding to Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ("CrPC"), seeking quashing of the First Information Report ("FIR") being *FIR No. 0199/2022* dated 27th May, 2022, registered at Police Station ("PS"), Shaheen Bagh, South East District, Delhi, under Sections 506/354D of the Indian Penal

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/10/2026 at 11:09:35

Code, 1860 (“IPC”), and Section 12 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (“POCSO Act”), and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the ground of settlement between the parties.

3. A Chargesheet has been filed by the concerned Investigating Officer (“IO”) before the Trial Court, containing additional charges against the petitioner under Sections 506/363/328 of the IPC.

4. During the pendency of the proceedings before the Trial Court, instituted in connection with the present FIR, the parties had amicably resolved their dispute by executing a Memorandum of Understanding (“MoU”) dated 13th May, 2026.

5. However, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 2 submits that respondent no. 2 does not wish to settle the matter with the petitioner. He submits that after the signing of the purported MoU between the parties, the petitioner has started sending messages to the husband of respondent no. 2, who has been married recently.

6. Accordingly, the present petition, along with the pending application, is dismissed.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

OCTOBER 12, 2026
c

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/10/2026 at 11:09:35