HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 6438/2026
CNR: RJHC020393312026 | URN: CRLMB / 11583U / 2026

Rumal S/o Mohammad @ Mauj Khan, aged about 35 Years, R/o Bilang, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present accused petitioner confined in Sub Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP ----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tarun Kumar Mishra, Mr. Jyoti Prakash Bhardwaj Mr. Dikshant Prajapat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, PP with Mr. Aman Agarwal, Mr. Sapan Soni

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

21/08/2026

1. This second bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused- petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 326/2024 registered at Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Raj.) for the offences under Sections 143, 336 of IPC and Section 3/8 of Rajasthan Bovine Animal (Prohibition of Slaughter & Regulation of Temporary Migration or Export) Act, 1995.

2. The first bail application was dismissed as not pressed with the liberty to file afresh after recording of the statements of the complainant and material witnesses.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that material witnesses have been examined before the learned Trial Court. Learned counsel also submits that co-accused Aashik @ Habib and Aasin @

Bhura have already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court; the petitioner is in custody since 19.12.2025 and trial will take considerable time to conclude, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made hereinabove by the learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has criminal antecedents of similar nature, therefore, he may not be enlarged on bail.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor and also the fact that the petitioner is in custody since long; the material witnesses have been examined; co-accused have already been enlarged on bail; trial of the case will take considerably long time in its conclusion, but without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Therefore, this second bail application under Section 483 BNSS is **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Rumal S/o Mohammad @ Mauj Khan** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court or any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

1-S/SKS