HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 810/2026
CNR: RJHC020641712026 | URN: SAW / 1655U / 2026

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government Of Rajasthan Department Of Home Secretariat Jaipur, (Rajasthan).
2. Additional Director General Of Police, Recruitment and Promotion Board Rajasthan Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Inspector General Of Police, Range Bharatpur Rajasthan.
4. Superintendent Of Police, Dholpur, Rajasthan.

----Appellants

Versus

Saurav S/o Shri Ram Das, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Khurila Tehsil Rajakhera District Dholpur.

----Respondent

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Vishnu Dutt Sharma for Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, AAG
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

21/08/2026

1. This appeal has been filed by the appellants challenging the order dated 17.12.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge. The matter relates to determination of the height of respondent, as the learned Single Judge directed for constitution of a Medical Board for measurement of the height of respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that although the directions have been issued for measurement of the height of respondent by the Medical Board but they are not having the vacant post to appoint the respondent in District, Dholpur.

Therefore, they have filed the present appeal challenging the order dated 17.12.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the height of the respondent was again measured by the Medical Board on 29.12.2025 and the height of the respondent was found to be above the minimum height prescribed under the Rules.

4. Heard learned counsel for parties and perused the record.

5. The present appeal filed on behalf of the appellants deserves to be dismissed, for the reasons, firstly; the learned Single Judge referred the matter again to the appellants for constitution of a Medical Board as suggested by counsel for the Department and as informed by both the counsel that the height of the respondent is above the minimum required height under the Rules.

6. In that view of the matter, no case is made out for interference, hence the present appeal stands dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand, disposed of.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J (INDERJEET SINGH),J

18/AVINASH GULERIA