HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13041/2026
CNR: RJHC020777252026 | URN: CRLMB / 24112U / 2026

Rukka W/o Naseem @ Naseen, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Of Village Dudawal, Police Station Brijnagar, District Deeg (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Sinsinwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

08/09/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.229/2024 registered at Police Station Nagar, District Deeg for offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 313, 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66-D of IT Act, 2008.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR. Nothing has been recovered from her conscious possession. He again submits that person named in the FIR i.e. Sohil got benefit of bail by this Court on 27.07.2026. He contends that co-accused Juned also got benefit of bail by Co-Ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated

10.07.2026. Co-accused Shaukat also got benefit of bail by this Court on 27.04.2026. He argues that co-accused Amit also got of bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.04.2026. The case of the present petitioner is on better footing. The petitioner is a lady, behind the bars since 11.07.2026 though five cases have been reported against her, however, in all cases, she is already on bail. The investigation/trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner and on the ground of parity with other co-accused, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner-**Smt. Rukka W/o Naseem @ Naseen**, be released on bail provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that she shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

Rashmi/99