HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17341/2026
CNR: RJHC020839642026 | URN: CW / 36257U / 2026

Pawan S/o Mukesh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Near Bandariya Ke Balaji, Trilok Nagar, Gangapur City, District Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Department Of Local Self Bodies, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur Through Its Secretary.
2. Dy. Secretary (Administration), Department Of Local Self Bodies,, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. Dy. Director (Administration), Department Of Local Self Bodies, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. Commissioner, Nagar Parishad Gangapurcity, District Sawai Madhopur (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Yogesh Singhal and Ms. Saroj Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

01/09/2026

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, made a limited prayer that in identical matters, while passing order dated 19.12.2025 in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.19951/2025 (*Rajesh Harijan Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*)**, liberty has been granted to the petitioner to submit a representation with further direction of consideration of the representation. Reliance has also been placed on the decision of Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Principal Seat, Jodhpur, in ***Ravi***

Jajot & Anr. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. **(S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.10496/2018)** along with other connected matters decided on 30.08.2018, wherein similar directions as above were issued. Learned counsel further submits that similar directions may also be given in the instant writ petition.

2. In the light of limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is also disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit representation, as directed in the case of ***Rajesh Harijan (supra)***. In case, any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered by respondent No.4 and shall also be decided by speaking order within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation along with certified copy of this order. It is also directed that respondent No.4 shall intimate the petitioner about the fate of the representation filed by him.

3. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

4. The stay application and pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

29/ARTI SHARMA