2026:AHC:212708

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 457 of 2016

Smt. Shanti @ Satiya

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Vishwanath And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Anupam Laloriya, Pankaj Saksena
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Arvind Srivastava, Pushkar Srivastava

---

Court No. - 9

HON'BLE ROHIT RANJAN AGARWAL, J.

1. Case is called out. No one is present to press the writ petition.

2. Sri Arvind Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent is present.

3. After hearing counsel for the respondent and going through material available on record, I find that no interference is required in the order impugned, as the issues no.3, 4 and 5 have been decided against the petitioner, against which, a misc appeal has been filed which has also been dismissed.

4. Writ petition is misconceived and the same stands dismissed.

(Rohit Ranjan Agarwal,J.)

October 9, 2026
SK Goswami

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVAKANT GOSWAMI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad