2026:AHC:212918

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 22813 of 2026

Poonam Rana

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Amit Singh Rana, Vikas Tripathi

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Saghir Ahmad, learned senior counsel assisted by Sri Vikas Tripathi, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

1A. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant, the same is taken on record.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused- **Poonam Rana** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 52 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) BNS, P.S.- Jarcha, District- Gautam Budh Nagar, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned senior counsel that the FIR is lodged on 26.03.2026 against nine named and 2-3 anonymous persons wherein the accused/applicant is not being named by Smt. Alka, informant with the allegation that on 11.02.2026 a sale deed has been executed in her favour by Khushbu Devi, who on the basis of forged sale deed became the owner of the property and in turn she has sold the property to the informant. In actuality the property belonging to some Rajesh Singh. It is the contention of learned counsel for the applicant that there is allegation that Rs. 62 lakhs in cash and Rs. 16 lakhs through demand draft has been paid as consideration amount. The

applicant has no knowledge about the incident as she is neither named in the FIR nor has any role in the said transaction. On the contrary, her husband Pradeep Rana is one of the accused in the present case even during investigation nothing incriminating material found against the accused/applicant. The charge sheet is submitted. The applicant being a lady is in jail since 02.05.2026. The accused/applicant has criminal history of eight cases, in two cases final report is submitted, one case is quashed by this Court and in five cases, she is on bail.

3A. Learned Senior Counsel hands up the five bail orders, which are Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 20304 of 2026 (Poonam Rana Vs. State of U.P.), Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 20367 of 2026 (Poonam Rana Vs. State of U.P.), Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 20366 of 2026 (Poonam Rana Vs. State of U.P.), Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 20806 of 2026 (Poonam Rana Vs. State of U.P.) and Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 23530 of 2026 (Poonam Rana Vs. State of U.P.), the same are taken on record. Hence, he seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that there is no specific role attributed to accused/applicant, there is no money transaction carried out with the accused/applicant, she is wife of co-accused Pradeep Rana, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of

observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

October 9, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad