2026:AHC:212373

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 7146 of 2026

Ziaularefeen And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

Nitin Gaur And Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Kumar Anish
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	

Court No. - 7

HON'BLE PIYUSH AGRAWAL, J.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that by typographical mistake, the names of the appellant nos. 2 & 3 have wrongly been mentioned, although the correct names have been mentioned at page 4 of the affidavit filed in support of the application.

Learned counsel for the applicants is permitted to correct the names of the said appellants in the array of parties during the course of the day.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant(s).

Vide order dated 18.07.2024 passed in Writ B No. 1507/2024 filed by the applicant(s), the writ Court directed as under:

"In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served to keep this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case, as mentioned in the writ petition, with a direction that present petitioners are at liberty to move a detailed representation before the District Deputy Director of Consolidation, District-Amroha with respect to their grievance as averred in the instant writ petition, within a period of three weeks from today along with the certified copy of order of the date and self-attested copy of the instant writ petition. After receiving the said representation, as directed above, learned District Deputy Director of Consolidation, District-Amroha shall take appropriate steps for reconstruction of missing record after due inquiry as required under the law and, after reconstruction of record as early as possible, issue a direction to the Consolidation Officer concerned (Amroha) to decide the proceeding under Rule 109-A of U.P. Consolidation of Holdings Act, strictly in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of five months from the date of direction issued by him."

Learned counsel for the applicant(s) submits that a certified copy of the aforesaid order was submitted for compliance before the opposite party(s) on .... , but the opposite party(s) has willfully not complied with the order and, thus, has committed

civil contempt liable for punishment under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Prima facie, a case of contempt has been made out for punishing the opposite party(s) for willful disobedience of the writ Court order. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, one more opportunity is accorded to the opposite party(s) to comply with the aforesaid order of the writ Court in its letter and spirit within three months from the date of production of a copy of this order.

The applicant(s) shall supply a duly stamped registered envelope addressed to the opposite party(s) and another self-addressed stamped envelope to the office within one week from today. The office shall send a copy of this order along with the self-addressed envelope of the applicant(s) with a copy of contempt application to the opposite party(s) within one week thereafter and keep a record thereof.

The opposite party(s) shall comply with the directions of the writ Court and intimate the applicant(s) of the order through the self-addressed envelop within a week thereafter.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the applicant(s) to move a fresh application, if the order is not complied with by the opposite party(s) within the stipulated time as aforementioned.

October 9, 2026
Amit Mishra

(Piyush Agrawal,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
AMIT KUMAR MISHRA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad