2026:AHC:212286

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 11367 of 2026

Air India Limited

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Justice Rajesh Chandra (Retd.)

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Saransh Srivastava, Varad Nath
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Niklank Kumar Jain, Vinayak Mithal

Court No. - 9

HON'BLE ROHIT RANJAN AGARWAL, J.

1. Heard Shri Amit Saxena, learned Senior Counsel along with Shri Varad Nath for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Gupta along with Shri Rishabh Agarwal holding brief of Shri Vinayak Mithal for the respondent.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the dispute is in regard to an amount of Rs. 1.69 Lacs and the complaint was cognizable by the District Consumer Forum and not by the State Consumer Forum. The respondent had filed the complaint before the State Forum which was allowed and approximately an amount of Rs. 22 Lacs was awarded by the State Commission. Against which, the petitioner had filed appeal before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (hereinafter called as the 'NCDRC'), which has been dismissed.

3. Shri Saxena, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that already 50 percent of the awarded amount has been deposited by the petitioner which is about Rs. 11 Lacs which includes Rs. 1.69 Lacs as cost of business class ticket. According to him, the petitioner is ready to forgo the said amount of Rs. 11 Lacs in case the petition is disposed of setting aside the order passed by the State Commission as well as NCDRC.

4. On the suggestion of the counsel for the petitioner, counsel appearing for the respondent, Shri Rajiv Gupta as well as Shri Rishabh Agarwal state that they have no objection and they are ready to settle the matter and the order passed by the State Commission be modify to the said

extent.

5. After hearing the counsel for the parties and perusing the material on record, I find that once the parties agree to settle the matter at 50 percent of the awarded amount deposited before the NCDRC pursuant to the judgement of the State Commission, the writ petition is disposed of setting aside the order passed by the State Commission as well as NCDRC and further directing that the amount so deposited before the NCDRC be released in favour of the respondent within 15 days from today.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands **disposed of**.

(Rohit Ranjan Agarwal,J.)

October 9, 2026
A. V. Singh

Digitally signed by :-
AJAY VIKRAM SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad