2026:AHC:212002

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 32132 of 2026

Aamod Rathore

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Rakesh Kumar Rathore, Sanjeev Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Karuna Nand Tiwari

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Rakesh Kumar Rathore, learned counsel for accused applicant has hands up supplementary affidavit explaining criminal history, the same is taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Rathore, learned counsel for accused applicant, Sri Karuna Nand Tiwari, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

3. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Aamod Rathore** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 158 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 352, 351(3), 61(2)A, 61(2)B, 127(2) of BNS, P.S.- Nichlaul, District- Maharajganj during the pendency of trial.

4. It is the contention of learned counsel for accused applicant that the accused applicant is an advocate and he has been falsely implicated in this case by the informant with the allegation that five accused persons including the accused applicant had cheated the informant and other candidate for getting a service at Consumer Forum. It is further contended that no amount has been credited in the account of accused applicant. Rupees two lakhs has been paid. The certificate which has been issued, has not been signed by the accused applicant. The office of the accused applicant has been used for the said purpose without his knowledge. The accused applicant has three cases criminal history of identical nature.

In all the three cases, he is in jail. The charge sheet has been submitted. The applicant is languishing in jail since 13.6.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. have opposed the bail and submits that the accused applicant and other co-accused have opened a Consumer Protection Right Council given acknowledgment letter to the informant that she has been interviewed for post of Consumer/District/DIR/Attorney and she has been registered for the post and will be called shortly. It is further contended that the training schedule was also conducted after handing over the offer letter for the said post. The informant and other persons have been cheated by the accused applicant and other co-accused. It is organized crime and therefore, submits that the bail application should be rejected.

6. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Considered the entire nexus of accused applicant wherein the office of the accused applicant has been used for the purpose of cheating and conducting training. Hence, without touching into the merit of the case, the bail application is **rejected.**

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 9, 2026
Krishna*

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHNA KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad