2026:AHC:212176

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 33870 of 2026

Karan Chauhan @ Nate

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Rama Pati Tripathi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Rama Pati Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant hands up the supplementary affidavit explaining the criminal history. The same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Tripathi, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Karan Chauhan** @ **Nate** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 61 of 2026, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, P.S.- Rawatpur, District-Kanpur Nagar, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that a belated F.I.R. has been lodged by Manoj Kumar, the informant against the accused-applicant on 13.02.2026 for the incident occurred during the year 2022-23 with the allegation that the accused-applicant has taken nearly Rs.10 lakhs from the informant and other persons for getting them the houses in the scheme of Garib Awas Yojana at Kanpur Nagar. Further contended that the applicant used to give personal loan to the persons and has also given loan to the informant and other persons, which he asked for return, on which, the belated F.I.R. was lodged. Further submits that the houses in the Awas Yojana are of Rs.1.20 lakhs, for which transferring the amount of Rs.10 lakhs does not seems plausible. There is specific mentioned in the F.I.R. that the accused has given a manufactured receipt of the amount, which have been deposited by the informant with him. No

such receipts have been collected by the Investigating Officer during the investigation except the statement of witnesses. There is no other incriminating material against him. The applicant has one case criminal history, wherein he is on bail. The charge sheet is submitted. The applicant is in jail since 17.08.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered the allegation made and no incriminating document is collected by the Investigating Officer during investigation except the oral statements. Prima facie, the F.I.R. is delayed.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 9, 2026
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad