2026:AHC:212104

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 33822 of 2026

Sandeep Kumar And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Pankaj Kumar Mishra, Saurabh Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Alkesh Singh, Ashutosh Shukla, G.A., Sanket Gupta

---

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Pankaj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the accused-applicants, Sri Alkesh Singh, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicants **Sandeep Kumar & Anmol** to enlarge them on bail in Case Crime No. 23 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 115(2), 352, 351(3), 109(1), 333 BNS, P.S.- Saifai, District- Etawah, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicants that the accused-applicants and informant are neighbours. There is an F.I.R. lodged by Vinay, one of the injured, informant on 04.03.2026 against the seven named persons including the accused-applicants with the allegation that on 03.03.2026 at about 23:00 hours, the accused persons entered their house with lathi and kulhari and assaulted the informant, Ajay and Jaypal Singh, the family members, due to which, all the injured suffered injuries. Further contended that the injuries are simple in nature, as no bony injury is found. Further contended that the other co-accused have already been granted bail, who are having similar role. There is cross F.I.R. in this matter, wherein the three persons from the side of accused suffered injuries and the F.I.R. was lodged by co-accused Sarvesh Kumar. Further contended the charge sheet is submitted. The applicants have no criminal history. The applicants are in jail since 07.09.2026 and hence, the applicants seek to be released on bail.

4. Learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. for State have opposed the prayer for bail of the applicants and submitted that the main role is attributed on applicant no.1 Sandeep, as the injured Vinay has specified the role of favra to the accused-applicant no.1. The doctor's statement is that the injury is grievous in nature and hence, submits that the bail application be rejected.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered that the nature and number of injuries and the cross fight between the parties, wherein both the sides suffered injuries and the cross version of the F.I.R.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicants be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicants shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 9, 2026
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad