2026:AHC:212668

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35143 of 2026

Yuvraj Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Raghubir Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Salilendu Kumar Upadhyay

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Raghubir Singh, learned counsel for accused applicant, Sri Salilundu Kumar Upadhyay, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.G.A. for State.

1B. Learned counsel for the applicant hands up the supplementary affidavit enclosing the compromise entered into between the parties, the same is taken on record.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused-**Yuvraj Singh** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 124 of 2026, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 120B IPC, P.S.- Kamala Nagar, District-Agra, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the FIR has been lodged on 10.06.2026 by one Manish Sharma with the allegation that on 01.01.2023 the informant has invested Rs. 2,26,75,000/- in the company run by the accused persons, namely, SBNL World, which deals with the construction and immovable property. It is the contention of learned counsel that the dispute between the parties is purely commercial in nature and the parties have entered into compromise and executed an MOU, a copy of which is annexed along with supplementary affidavit. The applicant is having criminal history of two cases, in one case the arrested is stayed and in another case nothing has been received by the accused/applicant. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 16.08.2026. He seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail.

4A. Learned counsel for the informant has conceded that there is commercial transaction between the parties, who have entered in compromise and therefore does not oppose the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the commercial dispute between the parties, who have entered into compromise, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is allowed.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685]**.

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

October 9, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad