2026:AHC:212648

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35138 of 2026

Ajay Singh Rajawat

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Noor Muhammad, Yogesh Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Yogesh Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

1A. Learned counsel for the applicant hands up the supplementary affidavit explaining the criminal history, the same is taken on record.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused- **Ajay Singh Rajawat** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 85 of 2023, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC, P.S.- Raniya, District- Kanpur Dehat, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the delayed FIR has been lodged on 11.06.2023 by Sushil Kumar Dwivedi, informant with the allegation that from 03.06.2018 to 21.06.2018, the informant has transferred Rs. 1 lakh in cash and Rs. 10 lakh in draft to the accused/applicant with the allegation that he has taken the money for getting him railway tender. It is the contention of learned counsel that no such assurance has been given. There is firm of his wife in the name of Ajay Agro Traders and from the firm a thresher machine was sold to co-accused M.P.Verma, who got a draft of Rs. 10 lakhs transferred to the accused/applicant from the informant. It is the contention of learned counsel that there is substantial delay in lodging the FIR through an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.. The applicant has criminal history of eight cases, wherein in one case he is acquitted, in two cases he

is on bail, in two cases he is released on notice under section 35(3) BNSS and in two cases B-Warrant has been issued against the accused/applicant. The charge sheet is submitted. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 11.06.2026. He seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the money has been allegedly transferred for getting the railway tender, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 9, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad