2026:AHC:212399

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16732 of 2026

Kumari Sheela

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arya Gautam, Atipriya Gautam, Sr. Advocate, Vaishnavi Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Abhishek Srivastava, Adarsh Bhushan, C.S.C.

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record on Board.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"a) Issue, a writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to Pay the Family Pension, to the Petitioner (Unmarried Daughter/Dependent), in pursuance of the Government Order dated 08/12/2008 and 16/05/2015, with all consequential benefits.*
>
> *b) Issue, a writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to consider the claim of the Petitioner (Unmarried Daughter/Dependent) for Paying the Family pension and to pass appropriate order within stipulated time, for providing the Family Pension, to the Petitioner along with Arrear and Interest."*

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's father died in harness on 18.11.2005 while serving as a Class-IV employee. After his death, petitioner's mother was receiving pension till her death i.e. 26.04.2008. Subsequent thereto, present petitioner has moved representation for family pension being an unmarried daughter; however, till date, no decision has been taken on the representation moved by her.

4. Learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1; Shri Abhishek Srivastava, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.2 to 4, and Shri Adarsh Bhushan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.5 to 9, have contended that the grievance of the petitioner regarding non-payment of family pension can be more appropriately

redressed by the Executive Engineer (respondent no.7) and that they have no objection if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation, to be moved by the present petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation with respect to her grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition before the respondent no.7, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of the same.

6. With the aforesaid directions, instant writ petition stands finally **disposed of.**

October 9, 2026
VR

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VIBHA RATAN
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad